arXiv:1410.6936v1 [math.AP] 25 Oct 2014

RESOLVENT AND RADIATION FIELDS ON NON-TRAPPING ASYMPTOTICALLY HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

YIRAN WANG

Abstract. We construct a semi-classical parametrix for the Laplacian on non-trapping asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, which generalizes the construction of Melrose, Sá Barreto and Vasy. As applications, we obtain high energy resolvent estimates and resonance free strips. Also, we prove that the Friedlander radiation field decays exponentially for initial data in proper spaces.

1. Introduction

Consider an $n+1$ dimensional compact manifold X with boundary ∂X. The interior of X is denoted by $\mathring{X}$. Let $\rho \in C^\infty(X)$ be a boundary defining function of ∂X, i.e. $\rho > 0$ in $\mathring{X}, \rho = 0$ on ∂X and $d\rho \neq 0$ on ∂X. When equipped with a Riemannian metric g, the manifold $(\mathring{X}, g)$ is called conformally compact if $G = \rho^2 g$ is non-degenerate up to ∂X. If in addition $|d\rho|_G = 1$ at ∂X, $(\mathring{X}, g)$ is called asymptotically hyperbolic. In this case, the sectional curvature approaches -1 along any curve toward ∂X, see Mazzeo [12, 13]. As shown in [6] (see also [9]), for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, there is a product decomposition near ∂X. That is, there exists a boundary defining function x such that a neighborhood U of ∂X is diffeomorphic to $[0, \epsilon)_x \times \partial X$, and

$$g = \frac{dx^2 + H(x, y, dy)}{x^2}, \tag{1.1}$$

where H is a family of Riemannian metrics on ∂X parametrized by x. It is pointed out in [9] that the metric g determines x and H up to a positive factor $f \in C^\infty(X)$. More precisely, the metric g determines a conformal structure on ∂X.

The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g on $(\mathring{X}, g)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $L^2(X)$. The spectra of Δ_g consists of essential spectrum $[\frac{n^2}{4}, \infty)$ and finite many eigenvalues in $(0, \frac{n^2}{4})$, see [12, 13, 15]. It is convenient to shift the spectrum and consider $P = \Delta_g - \frac{n^2}{4}$, so that the essential spectrum of P is $[0, \infty)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0$, we use λ^2 as the spectral parameter and denote the resolvent by

$$R(\lambda) = (\Delta_g - \frac{n^2}{4} - \lambda^2)^{-1}. \tag{1.2}$$

By the spectral theorem, $R(\lambda)$ are bounded operators on $L^2(X)$ for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < -n/2$. From the work of Mazzeo and Melrose [15], $R(\lambda)$ has a meromorphic continuation from $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \ll 0$ to $\mathbb{C}\backslash\frac{i}{2}\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\}$ as bounded operators on weighted L^2 spaces. The poles of the continuation are called resonances. Guillarmou showed in [8] that the resolvent has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if the metric g is asymptotically even. Otherwise, the points $\frac{i}{2}\mathbb{N}$ are generally essential singularities of $R(\lambda)$.

In this work, we assume that $(\mathring{X}, g)$ is non-trapping, i.e. there is no complete geodesic contained in any compact set of $\mathring{X}$. The main goal of this work is to generalize a semi-classical parametrix

Date: October 28, 2014.

construction of Melrose, Sá Barreto and Vasy [17] to asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, and use it to study the resolvent and radiation fields. Let $h = 1/|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|$ and $\sigma = 1 + i\operatorname{Im}\lambda/|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|$, we transform the problem to a semi-classical one by $P - \lambda^2 = h^{-2}P(h,\sigma)$, where

$$P(h,\sigma) = h^2(\Delta_g - \frac{n^2}{4}) - \sigma^2.$$

Roughly speaking, we look for an operator $G(h,\sigma)$ such that

$$P(h,\sigma)G(h,\sigma) = \operatorname{Id} + E(h,\sigma),$$

for $h \in (0,1)$, and σ in a box around $1 + i0$ i.e.

$$\sigma \in \Omega_\hbar \doteq [1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon] \times i[-Ch, Ch],$$

with some $\epsilon > 0$ small and $C > 0$. Here the remainder E is of order h^∞ as $h \to 0$. We call such an operator $G(h,\sigma)$ a semi-classical parametrix for the Laplacian.

In [17], the authors constructed such a parametrix for the hyperbolic space with metric perturbations supported sufficiently close to the infinity. To gain a rough idea of the structure of the parametrix, we proceed with a simple example where the resolvent kernel is explicit. Consider the Poincaré ball model of the three dimensional hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{B}^3, g_0)$, where

$$\mathbb{B}^3 = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |z| < 1\}, \quad g_0 = \frac{4dz^2}{(1-|z|^2)^2}.$$

The manifold is complete and without conjugate points, hence the distance function $r_0(z,z')$ is smooth away from $z = z' \in \mathring{X}$. Let Δ_0 be the Laplace-Beltrami operator and $P_0 = \Delta_0 - 1$. The Schwartz kernel (trivialized by the volume form) of the resolvent $R_0(\lambda)$ is $R_0(\lambda, z, z') = \frac{1}{4\pi} e^{-i\lambda r_0(z,z')} \sinh^{-1} r_0(z,z')$, see [17] and the references there. Now for the semi-classical operator $P_0(h,\sigma) = h^2(\Delta_0 - 1) - \sigma^2$, the Schwartz kernel of its inverse $G_0(h,\sigma)$ is simply

$$G_0(h,\sigma,z,z') = h^{-2} \frac{e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{h} r_0(z,z')}}{4\pi \sinh r_0(z,z')}. \tag{1.3}$$

This kernel is singular at $r_0 = 0$ i.e. $z = z'$, and is a smooth oscillatory function away from $z = z'$ with phase function $-\sigma r_0$. It is important to notice that the kernel has complicated compound asymptotics as $h \to 0, z, z' \to \partial\mathbb{B}^3$ and $z = z'$. The authors of [17] were able to describe this clearly by working on a specially designed semi-classical blown-up space.

In general, one expects the semi-classical parametrix to exhibit similar structures as $G_0(h,\sigma)$. The Schwartz kernel of $G(h,\sigma)$ can be regarded as a distribution (trivialized by the volume form) on $\mathring{X} \times \mathring{X} \times [0,1)$. We follow [17] and work on a blown-up space $X_\hbar^2$ defined in Section 3. The blown-up space is a compact manifold with corners and has five boundary faces $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}$, see Figure 2. When lifted to $X_\hbar^2$, the kernel of $G(h,\sigma)$ can be decomposed into two parts. The first part only captures the conormal singularities at the diagonal of $X_\hbar^2$. Such operators are pseudo-differential operators and denoted by $\Psi_{0,\hbar}^m(X)$ with m indicating the order. The novelty of our analysis lies in the second part, which captures the asymptotic behavior of the kernel at the boundary faces of $X_\hbar^2$. The kernel belongs to a class of oscillatory functions associated to a Lagrangian submanifold and conormal to boundary faces of $X_\hbar^2$. Such operators are denoted by $I_\hbar^{a+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar},\mu-\kappa,c+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}(X,\Lambda)$, see Section 3 for detail explanations. The two operator spaces are combined to $\Psi_{0,\hbar}^{m,a+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar},\mu-\kappa,c+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}(X,\Lambda)$. Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $h \in [0,1), \sigma \in \Omega_\hbar$. There exist $\kappa \geq 0$ and two operators $G(h,\sigma)$ and $E(h,\sigma)$, whose Schwartz kernels are holomorphic in σ and satisfy*

$$G(h,\sigma) \in \Psi_{0,\hbar}^{-2,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar},-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}(X,\Lambda), \quad \beta_\hbar^* E(h,\sigma) \in \rho_{\mathcal{S}}^\infty \rho_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty \rho_{\mathcal{A}}^\infty \rho_{\mathcal{R}}^\infty \rho_{\mathcal{L}}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}} C^\infty(X_{0,\hbar}^2),$$

such that

$$P(h,\sigma)G(h,\sigma) = \mathrm{Id} + E(h,\sigma).$$

We remark that the index $-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa$ can be thought as the vanishing order of $G(h,\sigma)$ in h, and κ is related to the caustics of the Lagrangian. When the Lagrangian has no caustics as in the case of [17], $\kappa = 0$ and the result is the same as Theorem 5.1 of [17]. However, in general, there is a loss in the vanishing order. The Lagrangian central to our analysis can be described as following. Let (z,ζ) be local coordinates of $T^*\mathring{X}$. Consider the Hamiltonian function $p(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}|\zeta|^2_{g^*(z)}$, where g^* denotes the dual metric. Let p_L be the lift of p to $T^*\mathring{X} \times T^*\mathring{X}$ from the left and H_{p_L} be the Hamilton vector field of p_L. Denote

$$\Omega = \{(z,z',\zeta,\zeta') \in T^*(\mathring{X} \times \mathring{X}) : z = z', \zeta = -\zeta', p(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

We consider a non-conic Lagrangian submanifold defined as the flow out of Ω under H_{p_L} i.e.

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \exp t H_{p_L}(\Omega). \tag{1.4}$$

Some equivalent descriptions are discussed in Section 2. In fact, if the distance function r_0 is smooth away from the diagonal, for example in the case of $(\mathbb{B}^3, g_0)$ or geodesic convex asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, we have an explicit picture

$$\Lambda \backslash \Omega = \{(z,z', d_z r_0(z,z'), -d_{z'} r_0(z,z')) : z, z' \in \mathring{X}, z \neq z'\},$$

see [17] and [20]. In view of (1.3), it is clear that that $G_0(h,\sigma,z,z')$ is an oscillatory function associated to Λ away from the diagonal. The general case is similar. To understand the asymptotic behavior of G_0 at $\partial(X \times X)$, the point of view of [17] is to study the smooth extension of Λ to the boundary in some properly designed rescaled vector bundles. In [20], the smooth extension is addressed for general non-trapping asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds by using different techniques. Our local parametrization of the Lagrangian follows from the results of [20], and we review them in Section 2. Also, our treatment bears some similarily with that of Duistermaat on the Lagrangian level, see Section 5.2 of [2].

As in [17], the high energy i.e. $|\lambda| \to \infty$ behavior of the resolvent is an immediate consequence.

Theorem 1.2. *Let ρ be a boundary defining function of ∂X. Assume $a, b > \mathrm{Im}\,\lambda, \quad a + b \geq 0$. For any $C_2 > 0$, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that the weighted resolvent $\rho^a R(\lambda) \rho^b$ has a holomorphic continuation to*

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\,\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda| > C_1, \quad \mathrm{Im}\,\lambda < C_2\} \tag{1.5}$$

as bounded operators on $L^2(X)$. Moreover, there exist $C > 0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\rho^a R(\lambda) \rho^b f\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C|\lambda|^{\frac{n}{2}-1+\kappa} \|f\|_{L^2(X)}. \tag{1.6}$$

For asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds (not necessarily non-trapping), Guillarmou [7] proved there is no resonance in a region exponentially close to the real axis. For conformally compact manifold with constant negative curvature outside a compact set, he obtained resonance free regions as in Theorem 1.2. For asymptotically hyperbolic manifold with even metric (in the sense of Guillarmou [8]), Vasy [22] developed a whole microlocal machinery to obtain strips of

holomorphic continuation as well as high energy resolvent estimates. We remark that Theorem 1.2 does not require the asymptotically hyperbolic metric to be even to any order. The resolvent estimates can be used to obtain, for example, the exponential decay of local energy for linear wave equations on such manifolds.

As another application, we study the radiation fields in Section 5. The radiation fields were introduced by Friedlander [3, 4] for the wave equations on asymptotically Euclidean spaces. They are concrete realizations of the Lax-Phillips translation representation of the wave group, see [10]. In [19], Sá Barreto and Wunsch showed that for non-trapping asymptotically Euclidean and hyperbolic manifolds, the radiation fields are Fourier integral operators associated to the sojourn relations.

Sá Barreto [18] studied the radiation fields in the asymptotically hyperbolic setting. Consider the linear wave equation

$$(D_t^2 - \Delta_g + \frac{n^2}{4})u(t,z) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathring{X},$$
$$u(0,z) = f_1(z), \quad D_t u(0,z) = f_2(z), \quad f_1, f_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathring{X}).$$

When the product decomposition (1.1) is valid, we use $z = (x, y)$. By Theorem 2.1 of [18], the forward radiation field $\mathcal{R}_+ : C_0^\infty(\mathring{X}) \times C_0^\infty(\mathring{X}) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \partial X)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_+(f_1, f_2)(s, y) = x^{-\frac{n}{2}} D_t u(s - \log x, x, y) \mid_{x=0} .$$

The backward radiation field $\mathcal{R}_-$ is defined by reversing the time direction.

Here, we are interested in the decay of $\mathcal{R}_+$ as $s \to \pm\infty$. For odd dimensional Euclidean spaces, the radiation fields are given by derivatives of the Radon transform of the initial data f_1, f_2, see Section 4.2 of Lax and Phillips [10]. If f_1, f_2 are rapidly decaying Schwartz functions, the radiation fields are rapidly decaying in s as $s \to \pm\infty$. Baskin, Vasy and Wunsch [1] recently studied the radiation fields on perturbations of the Minkowski space M. One of their results is that if the dimension of M is even, the radiation field decays like $s^{-\infty}$ as $s \to \infty$.

Roughly speaking, our Theorem 5.4 says that for ϵ small, and f_1, f_2 have sufficent regularity and decay at infinity,

$$\mathcal{R}_+(f_1, f_2)(s, y) = e^{-\epsilon|s|}\mathcal{R}_0(s, y),$$

where $\mathcal{R}_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_s; L^2(\partial X))$ and smooth in $s \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$. In particular, the radiation field decays exponentially as $s \to \pm\infty$. As pointed out in [18], the radiation field is related to the transposed Eisenstein function $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$, while $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ can be defined as the boundary value of $\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\lambda}R(\lambda)$ at $\rho = 0$. In the non-semi-classical setting, Joshi and Sá Barreto [9] gave a clear description of the kernel structure of $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$. Here we study $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ for large $|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|$, and show that $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ has a holomorphic extension to strips. These are carried out in Section 5.

2. The Lagrangian and its parametrization

2.1. **The Lagrangian submanifold and its extension.** We review the main construction of Sá Barreto and Wang [20]. Let $(\mathring{X}, g)$ be a $n+1$ dimensional non-trapping asymptotically hyperbolic manifold. The cotangent bundle $T^*\mathring{X}$ is a symplectic manifold with the canonical 2-from ω. In local coordinates (z, ζ) of $T^*\mathring{X}$,

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} d\zeta_j \wedge dz_j.$$

A submanifold Λ of $T^*(\mathring{X})$ is called Lagrangian if $\dim\Lambda = \dim\mathring{X}$ and ω vanishes on Λ. Let g^* be the induced metric on $T^*\mathring{X}$. In particular, $g^*_{ij} = (g^{-1})_{ij} = g^{ij}$. Consider a Hamiltonian function $p(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}|\zeta|^2_{g^*} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} g^{ij}\zeta_i\zeta_j$. The Hamilton vector field H_p is defined through $\omega(H_p,\cdot) = -dp$. In local coordinates,

$$H_p = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \zeta}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}.$$

The integral curves of H_p are called bicharacteristics. Denote the unit sphere bundle of $T^*(\mathring{X})$ by $S^*(\mathring{X})$, then $S^*(\mathring{X}) = \{(z,\zeta)\in T^*\mathring{X} : p(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\}$. Since $H_p p = 0$, H_p is tangent to $S^*(\mathring{X})$ and the bicharacteristics stay in $S^*(\mathring{X})$. It is a well-known fact that the projection of bicharacteristics to $\mathring{X}$ are geodesics of $(\mathring{X}, g)$, see Section 2.C.8 of [5].

Now consider the product manifold $X\times X$. Let $\pi_L, \pi_R : T^*X\times T^*X \to T^*X$ be the projection to the left, right factor respectively. With the natural identification of $T^*X\times T^*X$ and $T^*(X\times X)$, we also use π_L, π_R for the projections $T^*(X\times X)\to T^*X$. The cotangent bundle $T^*(X\times X)$ is a symplectic manifold with canonical 2-form $\tilde{\omega}$. In local coordinate (z,ζ,z',ζ') of $T^*(X\times X)$,

$$\tilde{\omega} = \pi_L^*\omega + \pi_R^*\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} d\zeta_j\wedge dz_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} d\zeta'_j\wedge dz'_j.$$

Set $p_L = \pi_L^* p, p_R = \pi_R^* p$. The Hamilton vector fields are denoted by H_{p_L}, H_{p_R} respectively. Let

$$\Omega = \{(z,\zeta,z',\zeta')\in T^*(\mathring{X}\times\mathring{X}) : z = z', \zeta' = -\zeta, |\zeta|_{g^*} = 1\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Consider the flow out of Ω under H_{p_L} or H_{p_R}, i.e.

$$\Lambda_L = \bigcup_{t\geq 0}\exp tH_{p_L}(\Omega),\quad \Lambda_R = \bigcup_{t\geq 0}\exp tH_{p_R}(\Omega).$$

It follows from the definition that $\tilde{\omega}$ vanishes on Ω, a $2n+1$ dimensional submanifold. The Hamilton vector fields H_{p_L}, H_{p_R} are non-degenerate and the symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$ is invariant under their flow. So the flow out is a $2n+2$ dimensional manifold on which $\tilde{\omega}$ vanishes. Hence Λ_L, Λ_R are Lagrangian submanifolds of $T^*(\mathring{X}\times\mathring{X})$.

It turns out that $\Lambda_L = \Lambda_R$ because for any $(z,\zeta),(z',\zeta')\in S^*(\mathring{X})$ and $(z',\zeta') = \exp tH_p(z,\zeta)$ with $t\geq 0$, one can reverse the direction of the flow to get $(z,-\zeta) = \exp tH_p(z',-\zeta')$. By the commutativity of H_{p_L}, H_{p_R}, we arrive at $\Lambda_L = \Lambda_R$. Moreover, we define

$$\Lambda \doteq \bigcup_{t_1,t_2\geq 0}\exp t_2H_{p_L}\circ\exp t_1H_{p_R}(\Omega), \tag{2.2}$$

which is the same manifold as Λ_L and Λ_R.

Next we consider the wave operators

$$\Box_L = \frac{1}{2}(D_t^2 - \Delta_{g(z)}),\quad \Box_R = \frac{1}{2}(D_t^2 - \Delta_{g(z')}).$$

The symbols of these operators are

$$Q_L(t,z,z';\tau,\zeta,\zeta') = \frac{1}{2}(\tau^2 - |\zeta|^2_{g^*(z)}),\quad Q_R(t,z,z';\tau,\zeta,\zeta') = \frac{1}{2}(\tau^2 - |\zeta'|^2_{g^*(z')}).$$

We consider the flow out of

$$\Sigma \doteq \{(t,z,z';\tau,\zeta,\zeta')\in T^*(\mathbb{R}\times\mathring{X}\times\mathring{X}) : t = 0, z = z', \zeta = -\zeta', |\zeta|^2_{g^*} = \tau^2\neq 0\}$$

under H_{Q_L} and H_{Q_R} i.e.

$$\tilde{\Lambda} \doteq \bigcup_{t_1,t_2\geq 0} \exp t_2 H_{Q_L} \circ \exp t_1 H_{Q_R}(\Sigma). \tag{2.3}$$

We check that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a conic Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*(\mathbb{R}\times \mathring{X}\times \mathring{X})$. Recall that a Lagrangian $\Lambda_c \subset T^*X\backslash 0 \doteq \{(z,\zeta)\in T^*X : \zeta \neq 0\}$ is conic if $(z,\zeta)\in\Lambda_c \Longrightarrow (x,t\zeta)\in\Lambda_c, \forall t>0$. By the commutativity of H_{Q_L} and H_{Q_R}, we have

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \bigcup_{t_2\geq 0} \exp t_2 H_{Q_L}(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{t_1\geq 0} \exp t_1 H_{Q_R}(\Sigma).$$

Notice that Σ is a $2n+2$ dimensional conic submanifold, and the canonical two form $d\tau\wedge dt+\tilde{\omega}$ vanishes on Σ. The symbols Q_L, Q_R are homogeneous and the Hamilton vector fields H_{Q_L}, H_{Q_R} are non-degenerate. Therefore, $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a conic Lagrangian submanifold. It is important to observe that the projection of $\tilde{\Lambda}\mid_{\{\tau=1\}}$ to $T^*(\mathring{X}\times\mathring{X})$ is just Λ.

To describe the smooth extension of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ in [20], we recall the 0-blown-up space defined by Mazzeo and Melrose [15], see also [17]. Let $\mathrm{Diag} = \{(z,z') : z=z'\in X\}$, and

$$\partial\mathrm{Diag} = \{(z,z')\in \partial X\times\partial X : z=z'\} = \mathrm{Diag}\cap(\partial X\times\partial X).$$

The 0-blown up space, denoted by $X\times_0 X$, is defined as

$$X\times_0 X = (X\times X\backslash \partial\mathrm{Diag}) \sqcup S^*_{++}(\partial\mathrm{Diag}),$$

where $S^*_{++}(\mathrm{Diag})$ denotes the doubly inward pointing spherical bundle of $T^*_{\partial\mathrm{Diag}}(X\times X)$. Let $\beta_0 : X\times_0 X\to X\times X$ be the blow-down map. Then $X\times_0 X$ can be equipped with a topology and smooth structure such that β_0 is smooth. The blown-up space has 3 boundary hypersurfaces. The left and right faces, denoted by L and R, are defined as the closure of $\beta_0^{-1}(\partial X\times\mathring{X})$ and $\beta_0^{-1}(\mathring{X}\times\partial X)$ respectively. The front face ff is the closure of $\beta_0^{-1}(\partial\mathrm{Diag})$. We denote the lifted diagonal by Diag_0, which is the closure of $\beta_0^{-1}(\mathrm{Diag}\cap(\mathring{X}\times\mathring{X}))$. See Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. The 0-blown-up space $X\times_0 X$.

Since β_0 is a diffeomorphism when restricted to the interior of $X\times_0 X$ denoted by $\mathrm{int}(X\times_0 X)$, it induces a symplecmorphism from $T^*(\mathrm{int}(X\times_0 X))$ to $T^*(\mathring{X}\times\mathring{X})$, which we denote by β_0^*. We

also use β_0 for $\mathbb{R}\times X\times_0 X\to\mathbb{R}\times X\times X$. So $\beta_0^*\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a conic Lagrangian of $T^*(\mathbb{R}_t\times X\times_0 X)$. More explicitly, it can be expressed as

$$\beta_0^*\tilde{\Lambda}=\bigcup_{t_1,t_2\geq 0}\exp t_2\beta_0^*H_{Q_L}\circ\exp t_1\beta_0^*H_{Q_R}(\beta_0^*\Sigma).$$

Here β_0^* is also used for the lift of vector fields, i.e. $\beta_0^*H_{Q_R}=(\beta_0^{-1})_*H_{Q_R}$ in a conventional notation.

Let ρ_L,ρ_R be boundary defining functions for the left, right faces respectively. Consider the following singular change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}:\mathbb{R}_t\times(X\times_0 X)\backslash(L\cup R)&\to\mathbb{R}_s\times X\times_0 X,\\ (t,m)&\to(s,m)\doteq(t+\log\rho_L(m)+\log\rho_R(m),m).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta_S=\beta_0\circ\mathcal{M}$. The main result Theorem 1.1 of [20] is

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(\mathring{X},g)$ be a non-trapping asymptotically hyperbolic manifold. The manifold $\Lambda_S\doteq\beta_S^*\tilde{\Lambda}$ can be smoothly extended up to the boundary of $T^*(\mathbb{R}_s\times X\times_0 X)$.*

The idea of the proof is to observe that

$$q_L=\frac{1}{\rho_L}\beta_S^*Q_L,\quad q_R=\frac{1}{\rho_R}\beta_S^*Q_R$$

are smooth functions on $T^*(\mathbb{R}_s\times X\times_0 X)$, i.e. smooth up to the boundary. From the basic property of Hamilton vector fields $H_{fg}=fH_g+gH_f$ for any f,g smooth, we conclude that $H_{q_L}=\frac{1}{\rho_L}\beta_S^*H_{Q_L}, H_{q_R}=\frac{1}{\rho_R}\beta_S^*H_{Q_R}$ on Λ_S, because the symbols vanish there. So the integral curves of H_{q_L},H_{q_R} are the same as those of $\beta_S^*H_{Q_L},\beta_S^*H_{Q_R}$ but with different parametrizations. Therefore, we can write

$$\Lambda_S=\bigcup_{t_1,t_2\geq 0}\exp t_1H_{q_L}\circ\exp t_2H_{q_R}(\beta_S^*\Sigma).$$

The initial set $\beta_S^*\Sigma$ can be extended to a smooth submanifold of $T^*(\mathbb{R}_s\times X\times_0 X)$ up to the front face. A key step Lemma 2.1 of [20] shows that the Hamilton vector fields H_{q_L} and H_{q_R} are transversal to $\mathbb{R}_s\times L$ and $\mathbb{R}_s\times R$ respectively. With the non-trapping assumption, all the integral curves arrive at $\mathbb{R}_s\times\partial(X\times_0 X)$ in a finite time. Hence Λ_S has a smooth extension, denoted by $\bar{\Lambda}_S$, to the boundary of $T^*(\mathbb{R}_s\times X\times_0 X)$. Again by the non-trapping assumption, $\bar{\Lambda}_S$ is indeed an embedded submanifold, see e.g. Section 5.1 of Duistermaat [2]. We remark that the proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on a modification of an idea from Melrose, Sá Barreto and Vasy [17].

Actually, Λ_S can be extended across the boundary as a Lagrangian in the following sense. Consider a collar neighborhood of ∂X as in (1.1). This requires a choice of the boundary defining function x. For $\tilde{\epsilon}>0$, we can extend the collar neighborhood to $(-\tilde{\epsilon},\epsilon)_x\times\partial X$ which gives an extension $\tilde{X}$ of X across the boundary. Then $\tilde{X}\times\tilde{X}$ extends $X\times X$ across the boundary and corner, and the blow-up gives an extension of $X\times_0 X$, denoted by $\widetilde{X\times_0 X}$. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [20], we can extend the functions q_L,q_R smoothly to $\widetilde{X\times_0 X}$, therefore, the flow out Λ_S is extended across the boundary as a Lagrangian submanifold. We remark that such extensions of Λ_S are not necessarily unique.

Let σ be the dual variable of s. One can check that after the change of variable $\mathcal{M}$, σ is equal to the dual variable τ of t.

Lemma 2.2. *$\bar{\Lambda}_S|_{\{\sigma=1\}}$ is a compact submanifold of $\bar{\Lambda}_S$.*

Proof. The Hamiltonian functions Q_L, Q_R do not depend on t, thus q_L, q_R do not depend on s. Therefore the fiber σ is constant along the Hamiltonian flow, and $\bar{\Lambda}_S \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}}$ is the flow out of $\beta_S^*\Sigma \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}}$. By the non-trapping assumption, all the integral curves reach $\mathbb{R}_s \times L$ and $\mathbb{R}_s \times R$, and they arrive at a finite time because the vector fields are transversal to the corresponding boundary faces. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\beta_S^*\Sigma \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}}$ is compact. We first observe that the base $\{(s,m) : s = \log \rho_L(m) + \log \rho_R(m), m \in \mathrm{Diag}_0\}$ is a compact submanifold of $\mathbb{R}_s \times X \times_0 X$ because Diag_0 is compact and ρ_L, ρ_R are smooth. The fibers are compact for m in a compact set of the interior of Diag_0 since the metric is non-degenerate there. So the point is to verify that the compactness holds up to the front face.

Near ∂X where the product decomposition (1.1) is valid, we take $z = (x, y)$ as the local coordinates and $\zeta = (\xi, \eta)$ as the dual variables. Let (x', y', ξ', η') be the local coordinate of the other copy. Then

$$\Sigma \mid_{\{\tau=1\}} = \{(0, x, y, x, y; 1, \xi, \eta, -\xi, -\eta) : x^2\xi^2 + x^2 h(x, y, \eta) = 1\}.$$

For the 0-blow up, we can use the following projective coordinate valid near ff and L,

$$x', \quad X = x/x', \quad Y = (y - y')/x', \quad y'.$$

The induced symplectic change of variables is

$$\beta_0^* : (x, y, x', y'; \xi, \eta, \xi', \eta') \to (X, Y, x', y'; \lambda, \mu, \lambda', \mu')$$
$$\text{where } \lambda = x'\xi, \quad \mu = x'\eta, \quad \lambda' = \xi' + \xi X + \eta Y, \quad \mu' = \eta + \eta'.$$

Then

$$\beta_0^*\Sigma|_{\{\tau=1\}} = \{(0, X, Y, x', y'; 1, \lambda, \mu, \lambda', \mu') : X = 1, Y = 0, \lambda' = \mu' = 0, \lambda^2 + h(x', y', \mu) = 1\}.$$

In the projective coordinates, X is a boundary defining function of the left face. As discussed in [20], we can take the singular change of variable $\mathcal{M}$ to be $s = t + \log X$. This is because we are away from the right face hence ρ_R is smooth, and changing boundary defining functions only results in a diffeomorphism of $T^*(\mathbb{R}_s \times X \times_0 X)$. Thus

$$\mathcal{M}^* : (t, X, Y, x', y'; \tau, \lambda, \mu, \lambda', \mu') \to (s, X, Y, x', y'; \sigma, \tilde{\lambda}, \mu, \lambda', \mu')$$
$$\text{where } \sigma = \tau, \quad \tilde{\lambda} = \lambda - \tau/X.$$

Therefore,

$$\beta_S^*\Sigma|_{\{\sigma=1\}} = \{(0, 1, 0, x', y'; 1, \tilde{\lambda}, \mu, 0, 0) : (\tilde{\lambda} + 1)^2 + h(x', y', \mu) = 1\}.$$

Since h is non-degenerate, this shows that $\beta_S^*\Sigma \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}}$ is compact up to ff. □

2.2. **Parametrization of the Lagrangian.** A conic Lagrangian $\Lambda_c \subset T^*X$ can be locally parametrized by non-degenerate homogeneous phase functions, see e.g. [2]. So for any $(z_0, \zeta_0) \in \Lambda_c$, there exists a conic neighborhood $\Gamma \subset T^*X$ such that

$$\Lambda_c \cap \Gamma = \Lambda_\phi \doteq \{(z, d_z\phi) : d_\theta\phi = 0\}.$$

The phase function ϕ is smooth on some conic set of $X \times \mathbb{R}^{N_0}$, homogenous of degree one in θ, and non-degenerate in a sense that $d_{z,\theta}d_z\phi$ has rank N_0. The following result describes the parametrization of $\Lambda_0 = \beta_0^*\Lambda$, which is a Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*(\mathrm{int}(X \times_0 X))$.

Proposition 2.3. *There exists finitely many phase functions $\phi_k \in C^\infty(U_k \times \Theta_k)$ where U_k form an open covering of int$(X \times_0 X)$, and Θ_k are bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{N_k}, N_k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$\Lambda_0 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{M_\phi} \Lambda_k, \quad \Lambda_k = \{(m, d_m\phi_k) : m \in U_k, d_\theta \phi_k = 0\}.$$

Moreover, the phase functions satisfy

$$\phi_k(m,\theta) = -\log \rho_L(m) - \log \rho_R(m) + F_k(m,\theta),$$

where F_k have smooth extensions to the boundary of $X \times_0 X$.

Proof. We start from a parametrization of $\bar{\Lambda}_S$. We know that $\bar{\Lambda}_S$ is a conic Lagrangian of $T^*(\mathbb{R}_s \times X \times_0 X)$. Moreover, we can regard $\bar{\Lambda}_S$ as a Lagrangian on some extension of $T^*(\mathbb{R}_s \times X \times_0 X)$ as discussed after Theorem 2.1. Hence for any $(s_0, m_0; \sigma_0, \nu_0) \in \bar{\Lambda}_S$, there exists a neighborhood $I \times U$ of (s_0, m_0) and a homogeneous non-degenerate phase function $\psi(s, m, \theta)$ on some conic set $I \times U \times \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}_s \times (X \times_0 X) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\bar{\Lambda}_S \cap T^*_{I\times U}(\mathbb{R}_s \times X \times_0 X) = \Lambda_\psi = \{(s, m, d_s\psi, d_m\psi) : (s,m) \in I \times U, \quad d_\theta \psi = 0\}.$$

Because the phase function ψ is non-degenerate, the map

$$i_\psi : \{(s, m, \theta) \in I \times U \times \Theta : d_\theta \psi = 0\} \to \bar{\Lambda}_S \cap T^*_{I\times U}(\mathbb{R}_s \times X \times_0 X).$$

is a diffeomorphism. For any precompact neighborhood $W \subset \bar{\Lambda}_S$ of $(s_0, m_0, 1, \nu_0) \in \bar{\Lambda}_S \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}}$, the preimage $i_\psi^{-1}(W)$ is precompact. By the compactness in Lemma 2.2, $\bar{\Lambda}_S \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}}$ can be covered by a finite number of such precompact neighborhood W_k. Therefore, we find finitely many phase functions ψ_k defined on $I_k \times U_k \times \Theta_k$, such that

$$\bar{\Lambda}_S \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{M_\phi} \Lambda_{\psi_k} \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}} .$$

Here I_k, Θ_k are bounded sets because ψ_k can be restricted to precompact sets $i_{\psi_k}^{-1}(W_k)$.

Next, we get a parametrization of Λ_0. We know that locally

$$\bar{\Lambda}_S \mid_{\{\sigma=1\}} = \{(s, m; 1, d_m\psi_k) : d_s\psi_k = 1, d_\theta \psi_k = 0\}.$$

By inverting the singular change of variable $\mathcal{M}$, we know for any $m \in \text{int}(X \times_0 X)$ that

$$(s, m; 1, \nu) \in \bar{\Lambda}_S \text{ if and only if } (t, m; 1, \nu - \frac{d_m\rho_L}{\rho_L} - \frac{d_m\rho_R}{\rho_R}) \in \beta_0^* \tilde{\Lambda}.$$

By projecting to $T^*(\text{int}(X \times_0 X))$, this is equivalent to $(m; \nu - \frac{d_m\rho_L}{\rho_L} - \frac{d_m\rho_R}{\rho_R}) \in \Lambda_0$. Now we define

$$\phi_k(m, s, \theta) = \psi_k(s, m, \theta) - s - \log \rho_L(m) - \log \rho_R(m).$$

Here we regard s as a parameter in ϕ_k. It is clear that

$$d_s\phi_k = d_s\psi_k - 1, \quad d_\theta \phi_k = d_\theta \psi_k,$$
$$d_m\phi_k = d_m\psi_k - \frac{d_m\rho_L}{\rho_L} - \frac{d_m\rho_R}{\rho_R}.$$

So locally, ϕ_k is a phase function parametrizing Λ_0, i.e.

$$\Lambda_0 \cap T^*_{U_k}(\text{int}(X \times_0 X)) = \{(m, d_m\phi_k) : m \in U_k, \quad d_s\phi_k = 0, d_\theta \phi_k = 0.\}$$

Since U_k covers int$(X \times_0 X)$, the proof is finished by taking Θ_k as $I_k \times \Theta_k$ and F_k as ψ_k. □

Remark 2.4. *In the above proof, we can get another covering of Λ_0 by restricting ϕ_k to a smaller parameter set. First of all, we can shrink the precompact set W_k so that they still cover $\bar{\Lambda}_S|_{\{\sigma=1\}}$ and $i_{\psi_k}^{-1}(W_k) = \tilde{I}_k \times U_k \times \tilde{\Theta}_k$, where $\tilde{I}_k, \tilde{\Theta}_k$ are precompact in I_k, Θ_k. Denote the restriction of ψ_k by $\tilde{\psi}_k$. Then $\Lambda_{\tilde{\psi}_k} \subset \Lambda_{\psi_k}$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_S|_{\{\sigma=1\}}$ is covered by $\Lambda_{\tilde{\psi}_k}$. By continuing the proof, we obtain the restriction $\tilde{\phi}_k$ of ϕ_k to $U_k \times (\tilde{I}_k \times \tilde{\Theta}_k)$ so that $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}_k} \subset \Lambda_{\phi_k}$ and Λ_0 is covered by $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}_k}$. This remark is useful in the proof of Lemma 4.1.*

3. Operators on Semi-classical Spaces

The semi-classical blown-up space $X^2_{0,\hbar}$ can be constructed in a quite similar way as in Section 3 of [17]. On $X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$, the set $\mathrm{Diag}_0 \times [0,1)$ intersect $X \times_0 X \times \{0\}$ transversally. We blow up this intersection to obtain $X^2_{0,\hbar}$, and let $\beta_{0,\hbar} : X^2_{0,\hbar} \to X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$ be the blow down map. The final blow down map is $\beta_\hbar = \beta_{0,\hbar} \circ \beta_0 : X^2_{0,\hbar} \to X \times X \times [0,1)$. As a compact manifold with corners, $X^2_{0,\hbar}$ has five boundary faces, see Figure 2. The left, right faces, denoted by $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$, are the closure of $\beta^{-1}_{0,\hbar}(L \times [0,1)), \beta^{-1}_{0,\hbar}(R \times [0,1))$ respectively. The front face $\mathcal{F}$ is the closure of $\beta^{-1}_{0,\hbar}(\mathrm{ff} \times [0,1) \backslash (\partial \mathrm{Diag}_0 \times \{0\}))$. The semiclassical front face $\mathcal{S}$ is the closure of $\beta^{-1}_{0,\hbar}(\mathrm{Diag}_0 \times \{0\})$. Finally, the semiclassical face $\mathcal{A}$ is the closure of $\beta^{-1}_{0,\hbar}((X \times_0 X \backslash \mathrm{Diag}_0) \times \{0\})$. The lifted diagonal denoted by $\mathrm{Diag}_\hbar$ is the closure of $\beta^{-1}_{0,\hbar}(\mathrm{Diag}_0 \times (0,1))$. See Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. The semiclassical blown-up space $X^2_{0,\hbar}$ obtained from $X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$.

The volume form dg of $(\mathring{X}, g)$ can be used to trivialize the distribution densities and give a well-defined distribution space $C^{-\infty}(\mathring{X})$. In local coordinates, $dg = \sqrt{\det g} dz$. Let P be an operator from $C^\infty_0(\mathring{X})$ to $C^{-\infty}(\mathring{X})$ with Schwartz kernel $K_P(z, z', h)$, then

$$Pf(z,h) = \int K_P(z,z',h) f(z') dg(z'), \quad f \in C^\infty_0(\mathring{X}).$$

In the rest of the paper, we often do not distinguish the notation of an operator and its Schwartz kernel. It should be clear from the context which one is referring to.

By definition, the pseudo-differential operator space $\Psi^m_{0,\hbar}(X)$ consists of operators $P : C^\infty_0(\mathring{X}) \to C^{-\infty}(\mathring{X})$ such that $\beta^*_\hbar K_P(z,z',h)$ is a conormal distribution of order m to $\mathrm{Diag}_\hbar$, vanishing to

infinite order at all faces, except on $\mathcal{F}$ where it is smooth with distribution values, and on $\mathcal{S}$ where $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{n+1}\beta_\hbar^*(K_P)$ is smooth with distribution values.

Next we define a class of oscillatory functions associated to Λ_0, which is motivated by Definition 5.2.1 of Duistermaat [2]. To fit in our context, we change the large parameter τ in the original definition by $1/h$.

Definition 3.1. *Let Λ be an immersed C^∞ Lagrangian submanifold in T^*X. An oscillatory function $u(z,h)$ associated to Λ of order μ is a locally finite sum of integrals of the form*

$$I(z,h)=\int e^{-i\frac{\phi(z,\theta)}{h}}b(z,\theta,h)d\theta,\quad \theta\in\mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\Lambda_\phi=\{(z,d_z\phi)\in T^*X : d_\theta\phi=0\}$ is a piece of Λ, $d_{x,\theta}d_\theta\phi$ has rank N at $d_\theta\phi=0$, and

$$b(z,\theta,h)\sim\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}b_j(z,\theta)h^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}N+j},$$

where $b(z,\theta,h)$ vanishes for θ outside a fixed compact set of $\mathbb{R}^N$.

As discussed at the end of Section 5.2 of [2], the oscillatory integral $I(z,h)=O(h^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_0})$ locally uniformly near z_0 as $h\to 0$, where κ_0 is the dimension of the intersection of the tangent space $T_{(z_0,\zeta_0)}(\Lambda_\phi)$ and the fiber of the cotangent bundle $T_{(z_0,\zeta_0)}(\text{fiber})$ with $\zeta_0=d_z\phi(z_0,\zeta_0)$. In particular, $\kappa_0\le\dim(X)$, and the points of Λ where $\kappa_0\neq 0$ are called caustics.

Before we define the oscillatory functions, we discuss the parametrization of Λ_0 near the diagonal. For any $z_0\in\mathring{X}$, there is an open neighborhood U_0 such that the distance function $r(z_0,z)$ is smooth on $U_0\backslash\{z_0\}$. It is proved in the Appendix that the injectivity radius of $(\mathring{X},g)$ is positive. So there exists an $\epsilon_1>0$ such that r is smooth in an open neighborhood $\{0<r<\epsilon_1\}$ of $\text{Diag}^\circ=\text{Diag}\backslash\partial\text{Diag}$. In this neighborhood, the situation is exactly the same as in [17] where the distance function is globally smooth away from Diag°. Moreover, near Ω we have

$$\Lambda\backslash\Omega=\{(z,z';d_zr,-d_{z'}r): z,z'\in\mathring{X}, z\neq z'\}.$$

So the Lagangian can be parametrized by the distance function near Diag°. When lifted to $X\times_0 X$, β_0^*r has a smooth extension to ff, and vanishes quadratically at Diag_0, see [17] and the introduction of [20]. Despite of its singularity at Diag_0, it is preferable to take the distance function β_0^*r as the phase function in a neighborhood of Diag_0, because it appears when we construct the semi-classical parametrix near the semi-classical front face $\mathcal{S}$ in Section 3. It is worth mentioning that, as done in [17], we can blow up $X\times_0 X$ along Diag_0 to get a new space $X\times_1 X$. Let $\beta_1: X\times_1 X\to X\times X$ be the blow down map, and D be the new boundary face. Then β_1^*r is a smooth boundary defining function of face D in $X\times_1 X$.

Denote by $\mathcal{V}_b(X^2_{0,\hbar})$ the set of smooth vector fields tangent to $\mathcal{L},\mathcal{R},\mathcal{A}$ faces of $X^2_{0,\hbar}$. In the following definition, we need a conormal function space as in [17], see also [15],

$$\mathcal{K}^{a,b,c}(X^2_{0,\hbar})=\{u\in C^\infty(X^2_{0,\hbar}): V_1V_2\cdots V_mu\in\rho_{\mathcal{L}}^a\rho_{\mathcal{A}}^b\rho_{\mathcal{R}}^c\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{-n-1}C^\infty(X^2_{0,\hbar}), V_i\in\mathcal{V}_b(X^2_{0,\hbar}), m\in\mathbb{N}\}.$$

To simplify things, we also say $u\in\mathcal{K}^{a,b,c}(X^2_{0,\hbar})$ if the lift of u to $X^2_{0,\hbar}$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}^{a,b,c}(X^2_{0,\hbar})$.

Definition 3.2. *Let $(\mathring{X},g)$ be a $n+1$ dimensional non-trapping asymptotically hyperbolic manifold, and Λ be the Lagrangian submanifold defined in (2.2). An oscillatory function $u(m,\sigma,h)$ on int$(X\times_0 X)$ associated to $\Lambda_0=\beta_0^*\Lambda$ belongs to $I_\hbar^{a+i\frac{\sigma}{h},\mu-\kappa,c+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}(X,\Lambda)$, if it is a locally finite sum of*

oscillatory integrals

$$I(m,h,\sigma)=\int e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{h}\phi(m,\theta)}b(m,\theta,h,\sigma)d\theta,\quad h\in(0,1),\sigma\in\Omega_\hbar,$$

and that

$$u\in\rho_L^{i\frac{\sigma}{h}}\rho_R^{i\frac{\sigma}{h}}\mathcal{K}^{a,\mu-\kappa,c}(X^2_{0,\hbar}). \tag{3.1}$$

Here away from $Diag_0$, the phase function ϕ is as in Proposition 2.3, and near $Diag_0$, $\phi=\beta_0^*r$. The amplitude function $b(m,\sigma,\theta,h)\sim\sum_{j=0}^\infty b_j(m,\sigma,\theta)h^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}N_0+j}$ is compactly supported in θ, where N_0 is the rank of $d_{m,\theta}d_\theta\phi$ at $d_\theta\phi=0$. The constant $\kappa\geq 0$ is determined by the caustics of Λ_0.

We remark that the factor $\rho_L^{i\frac{\sigma}{h}}\rho_R^{i\frac{\sigma}{h}}$ in (3.1) comes from the asymptotics of the phase function. The amplitude function may be singular at Diag_0. Finally, we define

$$\Psi^{m,a+i\frac{\sigma}{h},\mu-\kappa,c+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}_{0,\hbar}(X,\Lambda)=\Psi^m_{0,\hbar}(X)+I_\hbar^{a+i\frac{\sigma}{h},\mu-\kappa,c+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}(X,\Lambda),$$

meaning the collection of operators $P=P_1+P_2$ such that $P_1\in\Psi^m_{0,\hbar}(X)$ and the lift of the kernel $\beta_0^*K_{P_2}\in I_\hbar^{a+i\frac{\sigma}{h},\mu-\kappa,c+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}(X,\Lambda)$. This is the space where our parametrix belongs to.

4. Semiclassical Parametrix and Resolvent Estimates

4.1. **Construction of a parametrix.** In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. That is for $h\in(0,1),\sigma\in\Omega_\hbar$, there exist two operators $G(h,\sigma)\in\Psi^{-2,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h},-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}_{0,\hbar}(X,\Lambda)$ and $E(h,\sigma)$ with $\beta_\hbar^*E\in\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^\infty\rho_{\mathcal{A}}^\infty\rho_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty\rho_{\mathcal{L}}^\infty\rho_{\mathcal{R}}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}C^\infty(X^2_{0,\hbar})$, both of which are holomorphic in σ and such that

$$P(h,\sigma)G(h,\sigma)=\mathrm{Id}+E(h,\sigma).$$

The strategy of the proof is the same as in Melrose, Sá Barreto and Vasy [17] by successively removing singularities of the resolvent kernel from $\mathrm{Diag}_\hbar$ and asymptotics at boundary faces $\mathcal{S},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{L}$. We refer to the original paper for full details. The novelty here is at the semiclassical face $\mathcal{A}$. To make it clear, we divide the proof to five subsections.

4.1.1. *At $Diag_\hbar$.* We begin by looking for the normal operators of $P(h,\sigma)$ at $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ faces. Near ∂X where the product decomposition (1.1) is vaild, we use (x,y) as the local coordinates for X. The Laplace-Beltrami operator is

$$\Delta_g=-(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^2+nx\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-x^2\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+x^2\Delta_H, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\gamma=\partial_x\sqrt{\det H}/\sqrt{\det H}$, and Δ_H is the positive Laplacian on ∂X parametrized by x. To get rid of the first order term, we conjugate $P(h,\sigma)$ by $x^{\frac{n}{2}}$ to get

$$Q(h,\sigma)\doteq x^{-\frac{n}{2}}P(h,\sigma)x^{\frac{n}{2}}=h^2(-(x\partial_x)^2-\frac{n}{2}x\gamma-x^2\gamma\partial_x+x^2\Delta_H)-\sigma^2.$$

Now we find the lift of the operator on $X^2_{0,\hbar}$. First, consider the 0-blow up. Let (x',y') be the local coordinates of the right factor of $X\times X$ near ∂X. Then the center of the 0-blow up is $\{x=x'=0,y=y'\}$. Near ff and L, we can use projective coordinates

$$X=x/x',\quad Y=(y-y')/x',\quad x',\quad y'. \tag{4.2}$$

Here X is a boundary defining function for L and x' is a boundary defining function for ff. From $x\partial_x = X\partial_X$, we find that the lift of $Q(h,\sigma)$ is

$$\beta_0^*(Q(h,\sigma)) = h^2(-(X\partial_X)^2 - \frac{n}{2}Xx'\gamma - X^2x'\gamma\partial_X + X^2\Delta_{H(x'X,y'+x'Y)}(D_Y)) - \sigma^2.$$

Here $\Delta_H(D_Y)$ means the derivatives in Δ_H are in Y variable. When restricted to $\mathrm{ff} = \{x' = 0\}$, the normal operator is

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{ff}}(Q) = h^2(-(X\partial_X)^2 + X^2\Delta_{H(0,y')}(D_Y)) - \sigma^2, \tag{4.3}$$

which is the (semi-classical) Laplace operator on the fiber space

$$\{X \geq 0, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \text{ with } \ g_0 = \frac{dX^2 + H(0,y',dY)}{X^2}$$

parametrized by y'.

Next consider the semi-classical blow up. The center is given by $\{X = 1, Y = 0, h = 0\}$. We use projective coordinates,

$$h, \quad X_\hbar = \frac{X-1}{h}, \quad Y_\hbar = \frac{Y}{h}, \quad x', \quad y'. \tag{4.4}$$

Then $\partial_X = \frac{1}{\hbar}\partial_{X_\hbar}, \partial_Y = \frac{1}{\hbar}\partial_{Y_\hbar}$, and the lift of Q is

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_\hbar^*(Q(h,\sigma)) = &-((1+hX_\hbar)\partial_{X_\hbar})^2 - \frac{n}{2}h^2(1+hX_\hbar)x'\gamma - h(1+hX_\hbar)^2x'\gamma\partial_{X_\hbar} \\ &+ (1+hX_\hbar)^2\Delta_{H(x'(1+hX_\hbar),y'+x'hY_\hbar)}(D_{Y_\hbar}) - \sigma^2.\end{aligned}$$

When restricted to the front face $\mathcal{S} = \{h = 0\}$, the normal operator is

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}(Q(h,\sigma)) = -\partial_{X_\hbar}^2 + \Delta_{H(x',y')}(D_{Y_\hbar}) - \sigma^2,$$

which is the Laplacian on the fiber space

$$(X_\hbar, Y_\hbar) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \text{ with } g_e = dX_\hbar^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij}(x',y')dY_{\hbar,i}dY_{\hbar,j},$$

parametrized by (x',y'). If $\sigma \in \Omega_\hbar$, only $(\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda)^2$ shows up in the normal operator. But this is not an important issue.

It remains to find the normal operator at $\mathcal{S}$ but away from $\mathcal{F}$. Here the conjugation by $x^{\frac{n}{2}}$ does not play a role. Let z be the local coordinates of X, and the metric $g = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} g_{ij}dz_idz_j$. The center of the semi-classical blow up is $\{z = z', h = 0\}$. We take the projective coordinates

$$Z_\hbar = \frac{z-z'}{h}, \quad h, \quad z',$$

which is valid over the interior of the semi-classical front face $\mathcal{S}$. Then

$$\beta_\hbar^*(P(h,\sigma)) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{\hbar,i}}(\sqrt{\det g}g^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{\hbar,j}}) - \frac{n^2}{4}h^2 - \sigma^2.$$

When restricted to $\mathcal{S} = \{h = 0\}$,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}(P) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{\hbar,i}}(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{\hbar,j}}) - \sigma^2,$$

which is the Laplacian on the fiber space $Z_\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $g_e = g(z')$, parametrized by z'.

Finally, it is evident that $\beta_\hbar^*Q$ is an elliptic operator uniformly up to $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ faces. By doubling the manifold $X_{0,\hbar}^2$ across the $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ faces, we get an elliptic differential operator of order 2

on a manifold without boundary. By the standard parametrix construction of elliptic operators on compact manifold without boundary, there exist $G_0(\sigma) \in \Psi^{-2}_{0,\hbar}(X)$ and $E_0(\sigma) \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{0,\hbar}(X)$ holomorphic in σ such that $P(h,\sigma)G_0(\sigma) = \mathrm{Id} + E_0(\sigma)$. Moreover, $G_0(\sigma), E_0(\sigma)$ can be arranged to be supported near $\mathrm{Diag}_\hbar$.

4.1.2. *At $\mathcal{S}$ face.* We look for $G_1(\sigma)$ such that

$$P(h,\sigma)G_1(\sigma) - E_0(\sigma) = E_1(\sigma) \in \rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{\infty} C^\infty(X^2_{0,\hbar}).$$

The idea is that since E_1 is smooth up to $\mathcal{S}$, we can write down the Taylor expansion of E_1 in $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}$ and remove the coefficients using the normal operator $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}(Q)$. This can be carried out in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}$, where the lift of the geodesic distance function r is well defined. Therefore, the same construction in Section 5 of [17], which uses essentially the distance function, works here. The result is that we can find G_1, E_1 whose Schwartz kernels satisfy

$$G_1 \in e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}r}\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{-n-1}\rho_{\mathcal{A}}^{-\frac{n}{2}-1}C^\infty(X^2_{0,\hbar}), \quad E_1 \in e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}r}\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{\infty}\rho_{\mathcal{A}}^{-\frac{n}{2}}C^\infty(X^2_{0,\hbar}),$$

and are supported in a neighborhood of $\mathrm{Diag}_\hbar$ away from $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$ faces. Notice that the center of the semi-classical blow up is given by $\{\beta_0^* r = 0, h = 0\}$. So near the corner $\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{A}$, we can use projective coordinates and set $\rho_{\mathcal{S}} = \beta_0^* r, \rho_{\mathcal{A}} = h/\beta_0^* r$. Therefore, it becomes clear that $G_1 \in I_\hbar^{\infty,-\frac{n}{2}-1,\infty}(X,\Lambda)$.

4.1.3. *At $\mathcal{A}$ face.* Since E_1 vanishes to infinite order at $\mathcal{S}$, we may blow down $X^2_{0,\hbar}$ to $X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$ and regard E_1 as in $I_\hbar^{\infty,-\frac{n}{2}-\kappa,\infty}(X,\Lambda)$. We can take $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} = h$, and to remove the asymptotics of E_1 at $\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to remove the coefficients of Taylor expansions of E_1 in h to $O(h^\infty)$. The main result is

Lemma 4.1. *For $h \in (0,1), \sigma \in \Omega_\hbar$, there exists $G_2 \in I_\hbar^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar},-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}(X,\Lambda)$ holomorphic in σ such that*

$$P(h,\sigma)G_2 - E_1 = E_2 \in h^\infty I_\hbar^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar},\infty,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}(X,\Lambda). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. We follow the classical geometric optics method. On $X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$, we know that $E_1 = h^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}r}\tilde{E}_1$, where $\tilde{E}_1$ is supported in a small neighborhood of Diag_0 and has an asymptotic expansion in h. Formally, we can write E_1 as a locally finite sum of oscillatory integrals

$$E_1 = \sum_{l=1}^{M_\phi} \int_{\Theta_l} e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}\phi_l(m,\theta)} b_l(m,\theta,\sigma,h)d\theta, \quad m \in U_l, \theta \in \Theta_l,$$

where the phase functions ϕ_l are as in Definition 3.2, M_ϕ is the number of phase functions, U_l forms an open cover of $\mathrm{int}(X \times_0 X)$, Θ_l are bounded sets in $\mathbb{R}^{M_l}$ and the amplitude $b_l(m,\theta,\sigma,h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty b_{l,j}(m,\theta,\sigma)h^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{N_l}{2}+j}$ are compactly supported in θ. In fact, b_l vanish if $\phi_l \neq \beta_0^* r$. Our task is to find

$$G_2 = \sum_{l=1}^{M_\phi} \int_{\Theta_l} e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}\phi_l(m,\theta)} a_l(m,\theta,\sigma,h)d\theta, \tag{4.6}$$

where $a_l(m,\theta,\sigma,h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_{l,j}(m,\theta,\sigma)h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{N_l}{2}+j}$ compactly supported in θ, such that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{M_\phi} \int_{\Theta_l} (P(h,\sigma)e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}\phi_l(m,\theta)}a_l(m,\theta,\sigma,h) - e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}\phi_l(m,\theta)}b_l(m,\theta,\sigma,h))d\theta = O(h^\infty). \tag{4.7}$$

From the standard geometric optics method, we find with some calculation that over $\mathring{X}\times\mathring{X}$, the phase functions ϕ_l should satisfy the eikonal equation $p(z,d_z\phi_l)-\frac{1}{2}=0$ for $p(z,\zeta)=\frac{1}{2}|\zeta|^2_{g^*}$. The $a_{l,j}$ should satisfy transport equations along the integral curves of the Hamilton vector field

$$-2H_p(z,d_z\phi_l)a_{l,0}+(\Delta_g\phi)a_{l,0}=b_{l,0}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$-2H_p(z,d_z\phi_l)a_{l,j}+(\Delta_g\phi)a_{l,j}=\frac{i}{\sigma}(\Delta_g-\frac{n^2}{4})a_{l,j-1}+b_{l,j},\quad j=1,2,\cdots. \tag{4.9}$$

Here $H_p(z,d_z\phi_l)$ denotes the restriction of H_p to the Lagrangian. Actually, we consider solving the equations on $X\times_0 X$, hence all of these equations should be lifted to $X\times_0 X$.

Since ϕ_l parametrizes Λ_0 locally, they satisfy the lifted eikonal equation at the critical sets $C_l\doteq\{(m,\theta)\in U_l\times\Theta_l : d_\theta\phi_l=0\}$. The transport equations are first order linear ODEs along the integral curves of $\beta_0^*H_p$. To solve them, we regard the $b_{l,j}$ as globally defined functions $\hat{b}_j$ on Λ_0. This is because $b_{l,j}$ is well defined on Λ_0 where $\phi_l=\beta_0^*r$ and they vanish otherwise. By imposing zero initial conditions at Diag_0, the equations can be solved holomorphically in σ to get $\hat{a}_j$ globally defined on Λ_0. From the non-trapping assumption, the integral curves approach the left and right faces of $X\times_0 X$. We now determine the asymptotics of $\hat{a}_j$ near the left face L. It suffices to do the computations locally in the following three types of regions which cover a neighborhood of L.

Region 1: Near L and away from ff. This avoids the 0-blow up, and we can take (x,y,z') as the local coordinates near $\partial X\times X$. The Laplace operator is found in (4.1). From Proposition 2.3, the phase function in such a region can be written as $\phi_l(x,y,z',\theta)=-\log x+F(x,y,z',\theta)$ with F smooth up to $\partial X\times X$. Then $\Delta_g\phi_l=-n+xC^\infty$, by which we mean that $\Delta_g\phi_l=-n+x\tilde{F}$ with $\tilde{F}$ smooth where in concern. This abbreviation is used throughout the rest of the proof to simplify notations.

We can use the product structure of $X\times X$ and consider the Hamiltonian as $p(x,y,\xi,\eta)=\frac{1}{2}(x^2\xi^2+x^2h(x,y,\xi,\eta))$. Then

$$H_p=\xi x^2\partial_x-(x\xi^2+xh+\frac{1}{2}x^2\partial_x h)\partial_\xi+\frac{1}{2}x^2H_h.$$

On Λ, we have $\xi=\partial_x\phi_l=-1/x+C^\infty$. Therefore, $-2H_p(z,d_z\phi_l)=2x\partial_x+xC^\infty$. Locally, we write $\hat{a}_j=a_{l,j}$, hence the first transport equation (4.8) becomes

$$-2H_pa_{l,0}+(\Delta_g\phi_l)a_{l,0}=2x\partial_xa_{l,0}-na_{l,0}+xa_{l,0}C^\infty=0,$$

because E_1 is supported away from $x=0$. By a simple indicial analysis, we conclude that $a_{l,0}=x^{\frac{n}{2}}C^\infty$. For $a_{l,1}$, the right hand side of (4.9) is

$$\frac{i}{\sigma}(\Delta_g-\frac{n^2}{4})a_{l,0}=x^{\frac{n}{2}+1}C^\infty.$$

So the same argument can be repeated to find $a_{l,j}=x^{\frac{n}{2}}C^\infty$.

Region 2: Near $L\cap$ ff and away from R. Here we can use projective coordinates (4.2) to get

$$\Delta_g=-(X\frac{\partial}{\partial X})^2+nX\frac{\partial}{\partial X}-(Xx')X\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial X}+(Xx')^2\Delta_H.$$

Since β_0 is a diffeomorphism over the interior of $X\times_0 X$, it induces a symplectmorphism, which in local coordinate (4.2) is

$$\beta_0^*:(x,y,x',y';\xi,\eta,\xi',\eta')\in T^*(\mathring{X}\times\mathring{X})\to(X,Y,x',y';\lambda,\mu,\lambda',\mu')\in T^*(X\times_0 X),$$
$$\text{where }\lambda=x'\xi,\quad \mu=x'\eta,\quad \lambda'=\xi'+X\xi+\eta Y,\quad \mu'=\eta+\eta'.$$

So the lift of the Hamiltonian p is $p_0 = \beta_0^* p = \frac{1}{2}(X^2\lambda^2 + X^2 h(Xx', y' + x'Y, \mu))$, and

$$H_{p_0} = \beta_0^* H_p = \lambda X^2 \partial_X - (X\lambda^2 + Xh + \frac{1}{2}X^2\partial_X h)\partial_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}X^2 H_h.$$

From Proposition 2.3, the phase function $\phi_l = -\log X + C^\infty$, so that $\lambda = \partial_X \phi_l = -1/X + C^\infty$. Therefore, $-2H_{p_0}(m, d_m\phi_l) = 2X\partial_X + XC^\infty$. Since $\Delta_g \phi_l = -n + XC^\infty$, the first transport equation becomes

$$-2H_{p_0} a_{l,0} + (\Delta_g \phi_l) a_{l,0} = 2X\partial_X a_{l,0} - n a_{l,0} + X a_{l,0} C^\infty = 0,$$

which implies that $a_{l,0} = X^{\frac{n}{2}} C^\infty$. The rest is similar to Region 1.

Region 3: Near $L \cap ff \cap R$. Without loss of generality, assume $y_1 - y_1' \geq 0$. We can use the following projective coordinates for the 0-blow up

$$u = y_1 - y_1', \quad w = \frac{x}{y_1 - y_1'}, \quad w' = \frac{x'}{y_1 - y_1'}, \quad y' \text{ and } Z_j = \frac{y_j - y_j'}{y_1 - y_1'}, \quad j = 2, 3, \cdots n. \tag{4.10}$$

Here w, w' and u are boundary defining functions for L, R and ff respectively. The Laplace operator becomes

$$\Delta_g = -(w\frac{\partial}{\partial w})^2 + nw\frac{\partial}{\partial w} - u^2 w\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial w} + u^2 w^2 C^\infty.$$

The induced symplectic change of variables is

$$\beta_0^* : (x, y, x', y'; \xi, \eta, \xi', \eta') \in T^*(\mathring{X} \times \mathring{X}) \to (u, w, w', Z, y'; \tau, \lambda, \lambda', \mu, \mu') \in T^*(X \times_0 X),$$
$$\text{where } \lambda = \xi u, \quad \lambda' = \xi' u, \quad \mu_j = u\eta_j Z_j, \quad j = 2, 3, \cdots, n$$
$$\mu' = \eta + \eta', \quad \tau = \xi w + \xi' w' + \eta_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \eta_j Z_j.$$

So the lift of p becomes $p_0 = \frac{1}{2}w^2(\lambda^2 + h(wu, y, \eta))$, and the Hamilton vector field is of the form

$$H_{p_0} = \lambda w^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + wC^\infty.$$

From Proposition 2.3, we know that the phase function $\phi_l = -\log w - \log w' + C^\infty$. Then $\lambda = \partial_w \phi_l = -1/w + C^\infty$, and we have $-2H_{p_0}(m, d_m\phi_l) = 2w\partial_w + wC^\infty$. Again, $\Delta_g \phi_l = -n + wC^\infty$. So (4.8) becomes

$$-2H_{p_0} a_{l,0} + (\Delta_g \phi_l) a_{l,0} = 2w\partial_w a_{l,0} - n a_{l,0} + w a_{l,0} C^\infty = 0.$$

This gives $a_{l,0} = w_1^{\frac{n}{2}} C^\infty$. The rest is similar to Region 1.

Up to now, we have shown that $\hat{a}_j = \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}} C^\infty(\Lambda_0)$. For the asymptotics in ρ_R, we just need to notice that $\hat{a}_j$ automatically satisfies the right transport equation. This is because polar coordinates (r, ω, z') is used in the local construction at face $\mathcal{S}$ (see [17]), and E_1 is expressed in polar coordinates hence symmetric in z, z' when blown-down to $\mathring{X} \times \mathring{X} \times [0, 1)$. So the asymptotics of $\hat{a}_j$ at R is the same as at L, i.e. $\hat{a}_j = \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}} \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}} C^\infty(\Lambda_0)$.

By Remark 2.4, we let $\tilde{\phi}_l$ be the restriction of ϕ_l to $U_l \times \tilde{\Theta}_l$ for $\tilde{\Theta}_l$ precompact in Θ_l, such that $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}_l} \subset \Lambda_{\phi_l}$ and Λ_0 is covered by $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}_l}$. Let χ_k be a locally finite partition of unity subordinated to the open covering $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}_l}$, and let $a_{l,j} = (\sum_k \chi_k)\hat{a}_j$ where the summation is over k such that supp $\chi_k \subset \Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}_l} \subset \Lambda_{\phi_l}$. In particular, $a_{l,j}$ vanishes on $\Lambda_0 \backslash \Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}_l}$. We can extend $a_{l,j}$ to a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}_l}$ in $T^*(X \times_0 X)$, or equivalently a small neighborhood of $\tilde{C}_l \doteq \{(m, \theta) \in U_l \times \tilde{\Theta}_l : d_\theta \tilde{\phi}_l = 0\}$. Because $\tilde{\Theta}_l$ is precompact in Θ_l, $a_{l,j}$ can be extended to a smooth function

in $U_l \times \Theta_l$ and compactly supported in θ. To see this will not affect the asymptotics of $a_{l,j}$ at the left and right faces, we can smoothly extend $\rho_L^{-\frac{n}{2}} \rho_R^{-\frac{n}{2}} a_{l,j}$ instead. Therefore, we get well defined amplitude functions $a_{l,j}(m, d_m\phi_l)$ with $a_{l,j} = \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}} \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}} C^\infty(\overline{U_l} \times \Theta_l)$ and compactly supported in θ. Here we take the closure of U_l in $X \times_0 X$ to emphasize that the functions are smooth to the boundary of $X \times_0 X$.

Finally, by the Borel lemma, we get the asymptotic summation $a_l \sim \sum_j a_{l,j} h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{N_l}{2}+j}$ such that $a_l = \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}} \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}} h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{N_l}{2}} C^\infty(U_l \times \Theta_l)$ and is compactly supported in θ. The operator G_2 is well-defined as in (4.6). Applying the stationary phase method see e.g. Sogge [21], the oscillatory integral in G_2 with amplitude a_l is of $O(h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa})$ locally uniformly for some $\kappa \geq 0$. The remainder term is of $O(h^\infty)$ because the amplitudes solve (4.7) on the critical sets while away from the critical sets the oscillatory integral is $O(h^\infty)$ by the stationary phase method. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

4.1.4. *At $\mathcal{F}$ face.* This step is the same as in [17]. We regard E_2 as a function on $X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$. Since E_2 is smooth at ff, we can write E_2 in Taylor series

$$E_2 \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^j E_{2,j}, \text{ where } E_{2,j} \in \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} h^\infty C^\infty(\mathrm{ff} \times [0,1)).$$

This is well-defined because $|\operatorname{Im}\sigma/h| < C$, and $\rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \to 0$ as $h \to 0$ and $\rho_R \to 0$. Now we look for $G_3 \sim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^j G_{3,j}$ such that

$$P(h,\sigma)G_3 - E_2 = E_3 \in h^\infty \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^\infty C^\infty(X^2_{0,\hbar}).$$

We found the normal operator at ff in (4.3), which is the semi-classical Laplacian of a hyperbolic metric

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{ff}}(Q_0) = h^2(-(X\partial_X)^2 + X^2\Delta_{H(0,y')}(D_Y)) - \sigma^2.$$

Appyling Proposition 6.15 of [15], we can find $G_{3,j} \in h^\infty \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} C^\infty(\mathrm{ff} \times [0,1))$. To see this will not destroy the asymptotics at $h \to 0$, we can repeat the construction near $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathcal{F}$ instead of on $X^2_{0,\hbar}$ as done in [17]. By Borel summation, we get $G_3 \in h^\infty \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} C^\infty(X \times_0 X \times [0,1))$. This can be arranged as $G_3 \in h^\infty I_\hbar^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h},\infty,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}(X,\Lambda)$. The remainder term is $E_3 \in h^\infty \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^\infty \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}+1+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} C^\infty(X \times_0 X \times [0,1))$.

4.1.5. *At $\mathcal{L}$ face.* Since E_3 vanishes to infinie order in ρ_{ff}, we can regard E_3 as a smooth function on $X \times X \times [0,1)$. Near $x = 0$, the Laplacian is

$$P(h,\sigma) = h^2(-(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^2 + nx\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x^2\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x^2\Delta_H - \frac{n^2}{4}) - \sigma^2.$$

The indicial operator is

$$I(P) = h^2(-(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^2 + nx\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{n^2}{4}) - \sigma^2.$$

The indicial roots are $\alpha = \frac{n}{2} \pm i\frac{\sigma}{h}$. For $E_3 \in h^\infty \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^\infty \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}+1+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} C^\infty(X \times X \times [0,1))$, we can use a Taylor series argument to find $G_4 \in h^\infty \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^\infty \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} C^\infty(X \times X \times [0,1))$ such that

$$P(h,\sigma)G_4 - E_3 = E_4 \in h^\infty \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^\infty \rho_L^\infty \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} C^\infty(X \times X \times [0,1)),$$

which is the final error. We can arrange $G_4 \in h^\infty \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^\infty I_\hbar^{\infty,\infty,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}(X,\Lambda)$.

To summarize, we find $G = G_0 + \tilde{G}$, with $G_0 \in \Psi_{0,\hbar}^{-2}(X)$, and $\tilde{G} = G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4 \in I_\hbar^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar},-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}(X,\Lambda)$, such that $P(h,\sigma)G - \mathrm{Id} = E_4$, where $E_4 \in \rho_{\mathcal{S}}^\infty \rho_{\mathcal{A}}^\infty \rho_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty \rho_{\mathcal{L}}^\infty \rho_{\mathcal{R}}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}} C^\infty(X_{0,\hbar}^2)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4.2. **High energy resolvent estimates.** According to Theorem 1.1, the parametrix $G = G_0 + \tilde{G}$, where $G_0 \in \Psi_{0,\hbar}^{-2}(X)$, and $\tilde{G} \in I_\hbar^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar},-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa,\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}(X,\Lambda)$. The Schwartz kernel of G_0 is a conormal distribution to $\mathrm{Diag}_\hbar$ of order -2, vanishing to infinite order at $\mathcal{L},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{R}$. We can double the manifold $X_{0,\hbar}^2$ across $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{S}$ faces where $\mathrm{Diag}_\hbar$ intersects transversally to get a compact manifold without boundary. By using the standard L^2 theory of pseudodifferential operators, we have

$$\|\rho^a G_0 \rho'^b f\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(X)},$$

where $a,b \in \mathbb{R}, a+b \geq 0$, ρ is a boundary defining function of ∂X on X, and ρ' is the same function on the other copy of X. The constant C does not depend on h.

For $\tilde{G}$, we first notice that on any compact set $K \subset \mathring{X} \times \mathring{X} \backslash \mathrm{Diag}^\circ$, the function $\chi_K \tilde{G}$ is smooth and bounded, where χ_K is a smooth cut-off function supported in K. By Schur's lemma, for $a,b \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \Omega_\hbar$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\rho^a \chi_K \tilde{G}(h,\sigma)\rho'^b f\|_{L^2(X)} \leq Ch^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\|f\|_{L^2(X)}.$$

Next near $\mathrm{Diag}_\hbar$, the kernel is

$$\rho^a \tilde{G}(h,\sigma)\rho'^b = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{-n-1}F,$$

where F is bounded. Using the projective coordinates for the semi-classical blow up: $\rho_{\mathcal{S}} = r, \rho_{\mathcal{A}} = h/r$, the kernel is controlled by

$$|\rho^a \tilde{G}(h,\sigma)\rho'^b| \leq C(\frac{h}{r})^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa} r^{-n-1}.$$

The volume form $dg(z') = C(n)r^n dr dw$. Since the injectivity radius of $(\mathring{X}, g)$ is positive (see Lemma A.1 in Appendix), there exists an $\epsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small so that

$$\int_{r<\epsilon_1} |\rho^a \tilde{G}(z,z',h,\sigma)\rho'^b| dg(z') \leq Ch^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\int_0^{\epsilon_1} r^{\frac{n}{2}+\kappa} dr \leq Ch^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa},$$

where the constant C is uniform in z. The L^2 estimate follows from Schur's lemma.

Finally, to deal with the estimates near $\mathcal{L},\mathcal{R}$, we recall Lemma 6.2 from [17], see also [14].

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose for $C > 0$ the Schwartz kernel of B trivialized by $dg(z')$ satisfies $|\beta_0^* B(z,z',h)| \leq C\rho_L^\alpha \rho_R^\beta$. For $\alpha,\beta > \frac{n}{2}$, there exists some constant C' such that $\|Bf\|_{L^2(X)} \leq CC'\|f\|_{L^2(X)}$.*

The boundary defining functions are lifted to $\beta_\hbar^*\rho = \rho_{\mathcal{R}}\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\beta_\hbar^*\rho' = \rho_{\mathcal{L}}\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$. From Theorem 1.1, we have near $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ that

$$\rho^a \tilde{G}(h,\sigma)\rho'^b = h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\rho_{\mathcal{F}}^{a+b}\rho_{\mathcal{L}}^{a+\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}\rho_{\mathcal{R}}^{b+\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{\hbar}}F,$$

where F is smooth and bounded. For $a+b \geq 0, a, b > \frac{\mathrm{Im}\,\sigma}{\hbar}$, we can apply Lemma 4.2 to get the L^2 estimates. Finally, by a finite partition of unity of $X_{0,\hbar}^2$, the local estimates give

$$\|\rho^a G(h,\sigma)\rho^b f\|_{L^2(X)} \leq Ch^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\|f\|_{L^2(X)}.$$

The remainder term E can be estimated in the same way. For any $M, N \geq 0$, we have

$$|\rho^a E(h,\sigma)\rho'^b| \leq Ch^N \rho_{\mathcal{L}}^{a+M} \rho_{\mathcal{R}}^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{\operatorname{Im}\sigma}{h}+b} \rho_{\mathcal{F}}^{a+b}, \text{ near } \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L},$$
$$|\rho^a E(h,\sigma)\rho'^b| \leq C(\frac{h}{r})^N r^M, \text{ near } \operatorname{Diag}_h.$$

For $a+b \geq 0, b > \frac{\operatorname{Im}\sigma}{h}, M > \frac{n}{2} - a$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$\|\rho^a E(h,\sigma)\rho^b f\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C_N h^N \|f\|_{L^2(X)}. \tag{4.11}$$

For the resolvent estimate, we first recall the relation

$$P - \lambda^2 = h^2 P(h,\sigma), \quad h = 1/|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|, \sigma = \lambda/|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|. \tag{4.12}$$

For $\lambda/|\operatorname{Re}\lambda| \in \Omega_h$ and $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0$, the resolvent is holomorphic. We can apply $R(\lambda)$ to (4.12) and use Theorem 1.1 to get $h^{-2}G(h,\sigma) = R(\lambda)(\operatorname{Id} + E(h,\sigma))$, and with weight

$$h^{-2}\rho^a G(h,\sigma)\rho^b = \rho^a R(\lambda)\rho^b(\operatorname{Id} + \rho^{-b}E(h,\sigma)\rho^b). \tag{4.13}$$

For h sufficiently small, $\operatorname{Id} + \rho^{-b}E(h,\sigma)\rho^b$ is invertible by (4.11). Therefore, we can extend $R(\lambda)$ holomorphically to

$$h \in (0, 1/C_2), \quad |\operatorname{Im}\sigma| < C_1 h \Longleftrightarrow |\operatorname{Re}\lambda| > C_2, \quad |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| < C_1,$$

through (4.13). The high energy estimates follow from the estimates of G and E. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remark 4.3. *If $a, b = \operatorname{Im}\lambda$, one can obtain resolvent estimates on logarithmic weighted L^2 spaces by using the full version of Lemma 4.2.*

5. Exponential Decay of the Radiation Field

5.1. **Analysis of the Eisenstein funciton.** The radiation field $\mathcal{R}_\pm$ is related to the transposed Eisenstein function, which we study first in this section. By fixing a product decomposition (1.1), the choice of the boundary defining function x fixes a metric H_0 on ∂X out of the conformal class determined by g. As pointed out in Sá Barreto [18], the transposed Eisenstein function

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = x^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\lambda} R(\lambda) \mid_{x=0}, \tag{5.1}$$

is a well-defined operator whose kernel is a distribution on $\partial X \times X$, conormal to $\partial\mathrm{Diag} = \{(z,z') \in \partial X \times \partial X : z = z'\}$. The proof essentially follows from Proposition 4.1 of [9]. The singularities of the kernel $\mathcal{E}(\lambda, y, z')$ can be resolved on a blown-up space $\partial X \times_0 X$ defined by blowing up $\partial X \times X$ along $\partial\mathrm{Diag}$. Let $\tilde{\beta}_0 : \partial X \times_0 X \to \partial X \times X$ be the blow down map. It is clear that $\tilde{\beta}_0 = \beta_0 \mid_{\partial X \times_0 X}$. The new manifold has two boundary faces. The front face $\tilde{\text{ff}}$ introduced by the blow up is the closure of $\tilde{\beta}_0^{-1}(\partial\mathrm{Diag})$. This is a half-sphere bundle over $\partial\mathrm{Diag}$. The right face $\tilde{R}$ is the closure of $\tilde{\beta}_0^{-1}(\partial X \times \mathring{X})$. See Figure 3.

The definition (5.1) of transposed Eisenstein function clearly depends on the choice of the boundary defining function x, and can be made independent by working with density bundles as in [9]. However, we shall take $\rho = x$ in this section for simplicity.

We study $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ in the limit $|\operatorname{Re}\lambda| \to \infty$ or equivalently as $h \to 0$ with $h = 1/|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|$. From (4.13) and (5.1), we can write

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho'^b = \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\lambda} R(\lambda)\rho'^b \mid_{\rho=0} = h^2 \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}} G(h,\sigma)\rho'^b \mid_{\rho=0} \circ(\operatorname{Id} + \rho'^{-b}E(h,\sigma)\rho'^b)^{-1}. \tag{5.2}$$



FIGURE 3. $\partial X \times_0 X$ constructed from $\partial X \times X$

For h sufficiently small, the operator $(\mathrm{Id}+\rho^{-b}E(h,\sigma)\rho^b)^{-1}$ is bounded on $L^2(X)$ uniformly in h. For the L^2 estimates of $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$, it suffices to study

$$\mathcal{E}_0(h,\sigma) \doteq \rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}} G(h,\sigma) \mid_{\rho=0}, \tag{5.3}$$

for $h \in (0,1)$ and $\sigma \in \Omega_h$. Then,

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho'^b = h^2 \mathcal{E}_0(h,\sigma)\rho'^b \circ (\mathrm{Id}+\rho'^{-b}E(h,\sigma)\rho'^b)^{-1}. \tag{5.4}$$

The Schwartz kernel of $\mathcal{E}_0(h,\sigma)$ can be resolved on $\partial X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$. Actually, from Theorem 1.1, we know that $G = G_0 + \tilde{G}$ and they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_h^*(\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\lambda} G_0) \mid_{\mathcal{R}} = 0, \\ &\beta_h^*(\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\lambda} \tilde{G}) \mid_{\mathcal{R}} = \rho_{\mathcal{F}}^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_{\mathcal{L}}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_{\mathcal{A}}^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa} \rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{-n-1} F \mid_{\mathcal{R}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

It is clear that $F|_{\mathcal{R}}$ is smooth on $\mathcal{R}$. Now we consider the restriction of boundary defining functions $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}, \rho_{\mathcal{A}}, \rho_{\mathcal{L}}, \rho_{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\mathcal{R}$. This can be done in convenient local coordinates near the blow-up.

We use (y, x', y') as the local coordinates of $\partial X \times X$ near the boundary. The center of the blow up $\partial\mathrm{Diag}$ is $\{x' = 0, y = y'\}$. First over the interior of $\tilde{\text{ff}}$, we use projective coordinate

$$x', \quad Y = \frac{y - y'}{x'}, \quad y. \tag{5.6}$$

Then x' is a boundary defining function of $\tilde{\text{ff}}$, and (5.6) is just the restriction of (4.2) to $X = 0$.

Next, near the corner $\tilde{\text{ff}} \cap \tilde{R}$, we take $y_1 - y_1' > 0$ and use projective coordinate

$$t = y_1 - y_1', \quad s = \frac{x'}{y_1 - y_1'}, \quad Z_j = \frac{y_j - y_j'}{y_1 - y_1'}, \quad y. \tag{5.7}$$

Then t, s are boundary defining functions of $\tilde{\text{ff}}, \tilde{L}$ respectively, and (5.7) is the restriction of (4.10) to $w = 0$. Since $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$, the boundary defining function $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}$ is smooth and positive on $\mathcal{R}$. Also, $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} \mid_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a smooth boundary defining function of the face $\{h = 0\}$ in $\partial X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$.

Now we see that the Schwartz kernel of $\mathcal{E}_0(h,\sigma)$ is well-defined on $\partial X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$, and

$$\mathcal{E}_0(h,\sigma) = \beta_h^*(\rho^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}} G) \mid_{\mathcal{R}} = \rho_{\tilde{\text{ff}}}^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}} \rho_{\tilde{L}}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa} \tilde{F}(h,\sigma), \tag{5.8}$$

where $\tilde{F}$ is smooth on $\partial X \times_0 X \times [0,1)$. The L^2 estimate of $\mathcal{E}_0(h,\sigma)$ can be derived from Schur's lemma. As before, we use the volume form on $\mathring{X}$ to trivialize the density bundle. Hence for

$T : C_0^\infty(X) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X)$ with Schwartz kernel $K_T(y, z')dg(z')$, the action of T is

$$Tf(y) = \int K_T(y, z')f(z')dg(z'), \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty(X).$$

We start from the high energy estimate.

Proposition 5.1. *For any $C_2 > 0$, there exists some $C_1 > 0$ such that for $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re}\lambda| > C_1, |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| < C_2\}$ and $b > \frac{n}{2} + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|$, the operator $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b$ is a holomorphic family of bounded operators from $L^2(X)$ to $L^2(\partial X)$. Moreover, there exist $C > 0, \kappa \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b f\|_{L^2(\partial X)} \leq C|\lambda|^{\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\|f\|_{L^2(X)}.$$

Proof. The holomorphy follows from that of $R(\lambda)$. For $h = 1/|\operatorname{Re}\lambda|$ sufficiently small and $\sigma = \lambda/|\operatorname{Re}\lambda| \in \Omega_\hbar$, $(\mathrm{Id} + \rho^{-b}E(h, \sigma)\rho^b)^{-1}$ is bounded on $L^2(X)$ uniformly in h. Hence it suffices to estimate $\mathcal{E}_0(h, \sigma)$. To apply Schur's lemma, we need

$$\mathrm{I} = \sup_{y\in\partial X} \int |\mathcal{E}_0(y, z', h)\rho^b|dg(z') \leq C_1, \text{ and } \mathrm{II} = \sup_{z'\in X} \int |\mathcal{E}_0(y, z', h)\rho^b|dH_0(y) \leq C_2.$$

We can estimate the two integrals locally by a finite partition of unity of $\partial X \times_0 X$. The case away from $x' = 0$ is straightforward because the kernel is of the form $h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}F$ for some $F \in C_0^\infty(\partial X \times X)$. Hence it remains to deal with the case near the blow up, which can be covered by the two types of local coordinates (5.6), (5.7).

First in projective coordinate (5.6), the pull-back of the volume forms are

$$\tilde\beta_0^*(\frac{dx'dy'}{(x')^{n+1}}) = \frac{dx'dY}{x'}, \quad \tilde\beta_0^*(dy) = dy.$$

Here we absorbed the factors $\sqrt{\det g}$ and $\sqrt{\det H_0}$ to the kernel. The Schwartz kernel of $\mathcal{E}_0(h, \sigma)$ is

$$\tilde\beta_0^*\mathcal{E}_0(h, \sigma) = \rho_{\tilde{\mathrm{ff}}}^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}}\rho_{\tilde L}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\tilde F(h, \sigma),$$

where $\tilde F$ is smooth on $\partial X \times_0 X \times [0, 1)$. We find that for $b > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{\operatorname{Im}\sigma}{h}$

$$\mathrm{I} = h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\sup_y \int (x')^{b-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}-1}\tilde F(h, \sigma)dx'dY \leq Ch^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}.$$

Here the integration is for small x', and the constant C depends on $\Omega_\hbar$. For the second integral,

$$\mathrm{II} = h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\sup_{x',Y} \int (x')^{b-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}}\tilde F(h, \sigma)dy \leq Ch^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa},$$

if $b \geq \frac{n}{2} - \frac{\operatorname{Im}\sigma}{h}$. Next we estimate the integrals in coordinate (5.7). The pull-back of densities become

$$\tilde\beta_0^*(\frac{dx'dy'}{(x')^{n+1}}) = \frac{dsdtdz}{s^{n+1}t}, \quad \tilde\beta_0^*(dy) = dy.$$

Using the kernel asymptotics, we have for $b > \frac{n}{2} + |\frac{\operatorname{Im}\sigma}{h}|$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} &= h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\sup_y \int t^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}}s^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}}(st)^b\tilde F(h, \sigma)\frac{dsdtdz}{s^{n+1}t} \\
&= h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}\sup_y \int t^{b-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}-1}s^{b-\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}-1}\tilde F(h, \sigma)dsdtdz \\
&\leq Ch^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the integration is for t and s close to 0. For the other integral, we have

$$\mathrm{II} = h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa} \sup_{t,s,z} \int t^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\frac{\sigma}{h}} s^{\frac{n}{2}+i\frac{\sigma}{h}} (st)^b \tilde{F}(h,\sigma) dy \leq C h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa}.$$

if $b \geq \frac{n}{2} + |\frac{\operatorname{Im}\sigma}{h}|$. Finally, by Schur's lemma,

$$\|\mathcal{E}_0(h,\sigma)\rho^b f\|_{L^2(\partial X)} \leq C h^{-\frac{n}{2}-1-\kappa} \|f\|_{L^2(X)}.$$

This finishes the proof in view of (5.4) and translating the estimates in terms of λ. □

The low and intermediate L^2 estimate of the Eisenstein function basically follows from the work of Joshi-Sá Barreto [9] and Mazzeo-Melrose [15]. We now briefly review some results to give the estimate, and refer the readers to the original papers for more details.

Theorem 7.1 of [15] tells that the resolvent $R(\lambda)$ has a meromorphic continuation from $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < -\frac{n}{2}$ to $\mathbb{C}\backslash\frac{i}{2}\mathbb{N}$, with residues of finite rank operators. In particular, $R(\lambda) = R'(\lambda) + R''(\lambda)$ where $R'(\lambda)$ is a 0-pseudodifferential operator of order -2 vanishing to infinity order at L, R of $X \times_0 X$, and the Schwartz kernel of $R''(\lambda)$ trivialized by $dg(z')$ is

$$R''(\lambda) = \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}+i\lambda} \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\lambda} F(\lambda),$$

where F is smooth in $X \times_0 X$ and meromorphic in λ. Here we used a different spectral parameter and trivialization of the density bundle as in [15]. By the definition of Eisenstein function (5.1),

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho'^b = \rho^{-i\lambda-\frac{n}{2}} R(\lambda)(\rho')^b \mid_{\rho=0} = \rho^{-i\lambda-\frac{n}{2}} R''(\lambda)(\rho')^b \mid_{\rho=0}.$$

On the blown-up space $\partial X \times_0 X$, the lift of the Schwartz kernel with the density factor is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta}_0^*(\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b) &= (\rho_R \rho_{\mathrm{ff}})^{-\frac{n}{2}-i\lambda} \rho_R^{\frac{n}{2}+i\lambda} \rho_L^{\frac{n}{2}+i\lambda} \rho_L^{b-n-1} \rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-1} F(\lambda) \mid_R \\ &= \rho_{\tilde{\mathrm{ff}}}^{b-\frac{n}{2}-i\lambda-1} \rho_{\tilde{L}}^{b-\frac{n}{2}+i\lambda-1} F(\lambda) \mid_R .\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 5.1, $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b$ is bounded from $L^2(X)$ to $L^2(\partial X)$ for $b > \frac{n}{2} + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|$, and λ in a compact set of $\mathbb{C}$ free of resonances. We summarize the results to

Proposition 5.2. *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the Eisenstein function (5.1) is holomorphic on $\Omega_0 \doteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0 : |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| < \delta_0\}$. For $b > \frac{n}{2} + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|$, $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b$ is bounded from $L^2(X)$ to $L^2(\partial X)$. Moreover, for λ in any compact set of Ω_0, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b f\|_{L^2(\partial X)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(X)}.$$

5.2. **Asymptotics of the radiation fields.** Let $\mathcal{V}(X)$ be the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on X, and $\mathcal{V}_e(X) = \rho\mathcal{V}(X)$ be the Lie algebra of edge vector fields, see Mazzeo [13]. These are also called 0-vector fields, see [15, 16, 17]. We need the definition of the weighted edge Sobolev space

$$\rho^b H_e^k(X) \doteq \{u = \rho^b v : v \in L^2(X), V_1 V_2 \cdots V_k v \in L^2(X), \quad V_i \in \mathcal{V}_e(X), 0 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Assume $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re}\lambda| > C_2, |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| < C_1\}$ as in Theorem 1.2 and $b > \frac{n}{2} + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \rho^b H_e^\infty(X)$, there exists a constant C depending on m and f such that*

$$\|\mathcal{E}(\lambda) f\|_{L^2(\partial X)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-m}.$$

Proof. Assume that $f = \rho^b v$. From the identity $R(\lambda)(\Delta_g - \frac{n^2}{4} - \lambda^2) = \mathrm{Id}$, we get

$$\lambda^2 R(\lambda) = R(\lambda)\Delta_g - \frac{n^2}{4} R(\lambda) - \mathrm{Id}\,.$$

Apply this to definition (5.1) of $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho'^b v &= \lim_{\rho\to 0}\rho^{-i\lambda-\frac{n}{2}}R(\lambda)\Delta_g\rho'^b v - \lim_{\rho\to 0}\rho^{-i\lambda-\frac{n}{2}}\frac{n^2}{4}R(\lambda)\rho'^b v - \lim_{\rho\to 0}\rho^{-i\lambda-\frac{n}{2}+b}v \\ &= \mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho'^b(\rho'^{-b}\Delta_g\rho'^b v - \frac{n^2}{4}v).\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The last term in the first line vanishes because $b > \frac{n}{2} + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|$. Repeating this argument, we get for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\lambda^{2k}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b v = \mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b(\rho^{-b}\Delta_g\rho^b - \frac{n^2}{4})^k v.$$

Notice that by our choice of the boundary defining function, $\rho = x$ when (1.1) is valid. Using the expression of Δ_g (4.1), we see that

$$x^{-b}\Delta_g x^b = -(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b)^2 + n(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b) - x\gamma(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b) + x^2\Delta_H.$$

So $x^{-b}\Delta_g x^b$ is an edge differential operator of order 2 in the sense that it can be written as a finite sum of V_iV_j with $V_i, V_j \in \mathcal{V}_e(X)$. Since $v \in H_e^\infty(X)$, by Proposition 5.1 and 5.2, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\rho^b v\|_{L^2(\partial X)} \leq C|\lambda|^{\frac{n}{2}+\kappa-1-k}.$$

□

The definition of radiation fields is recalled in the introduction. In [18], Sá Barreto proved that the radiation field is related to the transposed Eisenstein function by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda s}\mathcal{R}_+(f_1, f_2)(s, y)ds = i\lambda\mathcal{E}(\lambda)(f_2 + \lambda f_1). \tag{5.10}$$

This is valid for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < -\frac{n}{2}$ if $f_1, f_2 \in C_0^\infty(X)$. By Proposition 5.1 and 5.2, the formula (5.10) remains valid for λ in $\Omega_0 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0 : |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| < \delta_0\}$ with $f_1, f_2 \in \rho^b L^2(X)$ and $b > \frac{n}{2} + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|$. The right hand side of (5.10) is holomorphic in Ω_0, so is the left hand side.

As mentioned in the introduction see also [18], the spectrum of Δ_g consists of absolutely continuous spectrum $[\frac{n^2}{4}, \infty)$ and finitely many eigenvalues in $(0, \frac{n^2}{4})$. So we can decompose $L^2(X) = L^2_{ac}(X)\bigoplus L^2_{pp}(X)$ according to the spectrum, where $L^2_{pp}(X)$ is spanned by the eigenfunctions and $L^2_{ac}(X)$ is the orthogonal complement. As a consequence of Theorem 5.1 of [18], we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\pm(0, f_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_s\times\partial X)} = \|f_2\|_{L^2(X)}, \quad \forall f_2 \in L^2_{ac}(X).$$

Finally we prove the main result about radiation fields.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that $0 \leq \epsilon < \delta_0$ and $b > \frac{n}{2} + \epsilon$. For $f_1 \in \rho^b H_e^\infty(X)$ and $f_2 \in \rho^b H_e^\infty(X) \cap L^2_{ac}(X)$, the radiation field satisfies*

$$\mathcal{R}_+(f_1, f_2)(s, y) = e^{-\epsilon|s|}\mathcal{R}_0(s, y),$$

where $\mathcal{R}_0(s, y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial X))$ and smooth in $s \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. We will prove the theorem for $f_1 = 0$. The case when $f_1 \neq 0$ is similar. First, we take inverse Fourier transform in λ of (5.10) to get

$$\mathcal{R}_+(0, f_2)(s, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is\lambda}\lambda\mathcal{E}(\lambda)f_2 d\lambda. \tag{5.11}$$



FIGURE 4. Contour deformation in the proof of Theorem 5.4.

By assumption, $\lambda\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ is holomorphic in Ω_0 with only a possible pole at $\lambda = 0$. The order of the pole of $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = 0$ follows from that of $R(\lambda)$. Here we use an argument in the Euclidean potential scattering, see Section 2.10 of Melrose [16]. Since $R(\lambda)$ is meromorphic near $\lambda = 0$, we can write

$$R(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \frac{R_{-j}}{\lambda^j} + R_0(\lambda), \tag{5.12}$$

where $R_0(\lambda)$ is holomorphic, and R_{-j} are the residue operators with finite rank. From the spectral theorem, $R(\lambda)$ is bounded on $L^2(X)$ for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0, \lambda^2 \notin \operatorname{spec}(\Delta_g - \frac{n^2}{4})$, and

$$\|R(\lambda)\|_{L^2(X)\to L^2(X)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda^2, \operatorname{spec}(\Delta_g - \frac{n^2}{4}))}.$$

Therefore, for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0$ near 0, we have $\|R(\lambda)\| \sim 1/|\lambda|^2$. This means that in the expansion (5.12), there are at most 2 nontrivial singular terms $R_{-2}\lambda^{-2}$ and $R_{-1}\lambda^{-1}$. Hence $R(\lambda)$, as well as $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$, has a pole of order at most 2 at $\lambda = 0$.

By taking one derivative in s of (5.11), we get

$$\partial_s \mathcal{R}_+(0, f_2)(s, y) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is\lambda} \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}(\lambda) f_2 d\lambda,$$

and the integrand now is holomorphic in the strip $|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| < \delta_0$. We can change the contour of integration as in Figure 4. By Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \int_{\Gamma_K} e^{i\lambda s} \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}(\lambda) f_2 d\lambda \|_{L^2(\partial X)} &= \| \int_0^{\epsilon} e^{iKs - ts} (K + it)^2 \mathcal{E}(K + it) f_2 dt \|_{L^2(\partial X)} \\ &\le C e^{-\epsilon s} K^{-1} \to 0 \text{ as } K \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The integral along Γ_{-K} vanishes similarly. By Cauchy's theorem,

$$\partial_s \mathcal{R}_+(0, f_2)(s, y) = e^{-\epsilon s} \mathcal{R}_{0,+}(s, y), \text{ where } \mathcal{R}_{0,+}(s, y) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda s} (\lambda + i\epsilon)^2 \mathcal{E}(\lambda + i\epsilon) f_2 d\lambda.$$

An application of Lemma 5.3 shows that $\mathcal{R}_{0,+}(s, y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_s; L^2(\partial X))$ and smooth in s. Integrating from 0 to s, we get $\mathcal{R}_+(0, f_2)(s, y) = \Psi(y) + e^{-\epsilon s}\Psi_{0,+}(s, y)$ where $\Psi_{0,+}(s, y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_s; L^2(\partial X))$ and smooth in s. However, we know that $\mathcal{R}_+(0, f_2) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_s \times \partial X)$ if $f_2 \in L^2_{ac}(X)$. It is easy to see that $e^{-\epsilon s}\Psi_{0,+}(s, y) \in L^2([0, \infty)_s \times \partial X)$. Therefore, the function $\Psi(y)$ has to vanish almost everywhere. So we have

$$\mathcal{R}_+(0, f_2)(s, y) = e^{-\epsilon s}\Psi_{0,+}(s, y).$$

On the other hand, we can deform the contour to the lower half plane and use the same argument to get $\mathcal{R}_+(0, f_2)(s, y) = e^{\epsilon s}\Psi_{0,-}(s, y)$. To finish the proof, we define $\mathcal{R}_0$ to be $\Psi_{0,+}$ for $s \geq 0$ and $\Psi_{0,-}$ for $s < 0$. □

Appendix A. Positivity of the injectivity radius

For any point z on a general complete Riemannian manifold (X, g), the injectivity radius $\mathrm{inj}(z)$ is defined as the supremum of δ such that the exponential map $\exp_z : B_\delta(0) \subset T_zX \to X$ is a diffeomorphism. The injectivity radius of X is defined as $\mathrm{inj}(X) = \inf_{z\in X} \mathrm{inj}(z)$. It is well-known that for compact manifold, $\mathrm{inj}(X) > 0$, see e.g. 3.80 of [5].

Lemma A.1. *For $(\mathring{X}, g)$ asymptotically hyperbolic, the injectivity radius $inj(\mathring{X}) > 0$.*

Proof. Let ρ be a boundary defining function of ∂X. In the following, we denote

$$U_\epsilon = \{z \in \mathring{X} : \rho(z) < \epsilon\} \text{ and } U_\epsilon^c = \{z \in \mathring{X} : \rho(z) \geq \epsilon\}.$$

Since $(\mathring{X}, g)$ is asymptotically hyperbolic, we can assume that the sectional curvature is less than $-\kappa_0 < 0$ in U_{ϵ_0}, for some ϵ_0 sufficiently small.

We first prove that $\forall z \in U_{2\epsilon_0/3}$, $\mathrm{inj}(z) \geq \delta_1$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$. Since $\overline{U_{2\epsilon_0/3}}$ is closed and U_ϵ^c is compact, there exists some $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\mathrm{dist}(\overline{U_{2\epsilon_0/3}}, U_{\epsilon_0}^c) \geq \delta_1$. Because the sectional curvature is negative in U_{ϵ_0}, by the conjugate point comparison theorem Corollary 11.3 of [11], there cannot be more than one geodesics between any two points $z, z' \in U_{2\epsilon_0/3}$ that lies inside U_{ϵ_0}. Therefore, for any vector $V \in T_z\mathring{X}$ with $\|V\| \leq \delta_1$, the exponential map is a diffeomorphism.

Now consider $U_{\epsilon_0/3}^c \subset X$ as a compact manifold. Then $\mathrm{inj}(U_{\epsilon_0/3}^c) \geq \delta_2$ for some $\delta_2 > 0$. Since $U_{\epsilon_0/3}^c$ and $U_{2\epsilon_0/3}$ cover $\mathring{X}$, we conclude that $\mathrm{inj}(\mathring{X}) \geq \min(\delta_1, \delta_2) > 0$. □

References

[1] Baskin, Dean; András Vasy; Jared Wunsch *Asymptotics of radiation fields in asymptotically Minkowski space.* arXiv:1212.5141 (2012).

[2] Duistermaat, Johannes *Fourier integral operators.* Vol. 130. Springer, 1996.

[3] Friedlander, Gerard *Radiation fields and hyperbolic scattering theory.* In Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. 88, no. 03, pp. 483-515. Cambridge University Press, 1980.

[4] Friedlander, Gerard. *Notes on the wave equation on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds.* Journal of Functional Analysis 184, no. 1 (2001): 1-18.

[5] Gallot, Sylvestre; Hulin, Dominique; Lafontaine, Jacques *Riemannian geometry.* Vol. 3. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[6] Graham, Robin *Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics.* Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 63 (2000), 31-42.

[7] Guillarmou, Colin *Absence of resonance near the critical line on asymptotically hyperbolic spaces.* Asymptot. Anal. 42 (2005), no. 1-2, 105-121.

[8] Guillarmou, Colin *Meromorphic properties of the resolvent on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.* Duke Math. J. 129 (2005), no. 1, 1-37.

[9] Joshi, Mark; Sá Barreto, Antônio *Inverse scattering on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.* Acta Math. 184 (2000), no. 1, 41-86.

[10] Lax, Peter; Ralph, Phillips. *Scattering theory.* Revised second edition. Vol. 26. Academic press, 1990.

[11] Lee, John *Riemannian manifolds. An introduction to curvature.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 176. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[12] Mazzeo, Rafe *The Hodge cohomology of a conformally compact metric.* J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988), no. 2, 309-339.

[13] Mazzeo, Rafe *Unique continuation at infinity and embedded eigenvalues for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.* Amer. J. Math. 113 (1991), no. 1, 25-45.

[14] Mazzeo, Rafe. *Elliptic theory of differential edge operators I.* Communications in Partial Differential Equations 16, no. 10 (1991): 1615-1664.
[15] Mazzeo, Rafe; Melrose, Richard *Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature.* J. Funct. Anal. 75 (1987), no. 2, 260-310.
[16] Melrose, Richard *Geometric Scattering Theory.* Stanford Lectures, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
[17] Melrose, Richard; Sá Barreto, Antônio; Vasy, András *Analytic continuation and semiclassical resolvent estimates on asymptotically hyperbolic spaces.* Communications in Partial Differential Equations 39, no. 3 (2014): 452-511.
[18] Sá Barreto, Antônio *Radiation fields, scattering, and inverse scattering on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.* Duke Math. J. 129 (2005), no. 3, 407-480.
[19] Sá Barreto, Antônio; Wunsch, Jared *The radiation field is a Fourier integral operator.* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 55 (2005), no. 1, 213-227.
[20] Sá Barreto, Antônio; Wang, Yiran *The scattering relation on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.* Preprint in arXiv, 2014.
[21] Sogge, Christopher *Fourier integrals in classical analysis.* Vol. 105. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
[22] Vasy, András *Microlocal analysis of asymptotically hyperbolic spaces and high-energy resolvent estimates.* Inverse Problems and Applications: Inside Out II 60 (2013): 487.

E-mail address: wang554@purdue.edu

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, 150 North University Street, West Lafayette, Indiana, 47907, USA